

# Chandra X-Ray Imaging of the Interacting Starburst Galaxy System NGC 7714/5: Tidal ULXs, Emergent Wind, and Resolved HII Regions

Beverly J. Smith

*Department of Physics, Astronomy, and Geology, East Tennessee State University, Johnson City TN 37614*  
smithbj@etsu.edu

Curtis Struck

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames IA 50011*  
curt@iastate.edu

and

Michael A. Nowak

*Center for Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge  
MA 02139*  
mnowak@alum.mit.edu

## ABSTRACT

We present high spatial resolution X-ray imaging data for the interacting galaxy pair NGC 7714/5 (Arp 284) from the *Chandra* X-ray telescope. In addition to the unresolved starburst nucleus, a variable point source with  $L_X \approx 10^{40}$  erg s $^{-1}$  was detected 1".5 (270 pc) to the northwest of the nucleus, coincident with a blue, extremely optically-luminous ( $M_V \approx -14.1$ ) point source on HST images. Eleven other candidate point-like ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) were also detected in the vicinity of NGC 7714/5, two of which exceed  $10^{40}$  erg s $^{-1}$ . Ten of these appear to be associated with interaction-induced features but only two with star formation regions. We also found diffuse emission with  $L_X \approx 3 \times 10^{40}$  erg s $^{-1}$  extending 11" (1.9 kpc) to the north of the nucleus. Its spectrum can be fit with either a 2-temperature Mekal function ( $kT = 0.59 \pm_{0.06}^{0.05}$  keV and  $8 \pm_{3}^{10}$  keV), or a 0.6 keV Mekal function plus a power law ( $\Gamma = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ ). The hard component may be due to high mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs) with possible contributions from inverse Compton radiation, while the soft component is likely from a superwind. Superbubble models imply an expansion age of  $\approx 15$  Myr, supporting previous assertions of an intermediate-age nuclear stellar population in addition to a 5 Myr starburst. We also detected extended X-ray emission associated with four extra-nuclear HII region complexes. The emission from these HII regions and the nuclear starburst could be due to either an enhanced population of HMXBs relative to Local Group galaxian averages, or to diffuse gas heated by winds from supernovae, if the X-ray production efficiency  $L_X/L_{mech}$  is high ( $\approx 5\%$ ). To estimate  $L_X/L_{mech}$ , we collected published data for well-studied HII regions and superbubbles in nearby galaxies. For HII regions with ages  $< 3.5$  Myrs, the median  $L_X/L_{mech} \approx 0.02\%$ , while for older star formation regions,  $L_X/L_{mech} \approx 0.2 - 7\%$ . Thus it is possible that gas heating by supernovae may be sufficient

to account for the observed X-rays from these HII regions. In galaxies much more distant than NGC 7714, for example, the Cartwheel galaxy, HII region complexes similar to those in NGC 7714 will be unresolved by *Chandra*, and will mimic ULXs. No X-ray emission was detected from the Type Ib supernova SN 1999dn, with an upper limit of  $\approx 2 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ .

*Subject headings:* galaxies: starbursts — galaxies: interactions— galaxies: individual(NGC 7714, NGC 7715).

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. X-Ray Emission from Starburst Galaxies

The X-ray properties of starburst galaxies tend to scale with star formation activity (Fabbiano 1989; Read & Ponman 2001; Ranalli, Comastri, & Setti 2003), however, this X-ray emission is still not completely understood. With the high spatial resolution of the *Chandra* telescope, we can now separate point sources from the diffuse emission in starburst galaxies, allowing these two components to be studied with unprecedented detail. *Chandra* observations have provided new information about the distribution, temperature, and metallicity of hot X-ray-emitting gas in starbursts, and in particular, about ‘superwinds’: high-velocity large-scale outflows from the nuclear regions of starbursts (e.g., Strickland et al. 2000, 2002, 2004a,b; Martin, Kobulnicky, & Heckman 2002; Rasmussen, Stevens, & Ponman 2004). *Chandra* images have also revealed extended emission associated with extra-nuclear star formation regions in both starburst and spiral galaxies (Fabbiano et al. 2003; Zezas et al. 2003; Kuntz et al. 2003; Tyler et al. 2004), however, this phenomenon is not well-quantified.

*Chandra* observations have also shown that star-forming galaxies often contain numerous ‘ultra-luminous’ X-ray (ULX) point sources ( $L_X \geq 10^{39} L_\odot$ ) (Fabbiano, Zezas, & Murray 2001; Roberts et al. 2002; Lira et al. 2002; Zezas & Fabbiano 2002; Zezas et al. 2003; Read 2003; Smith et al. 2003). The nature of these sources is still unclear. One suggestion is that they are “intermediate mass” ( $100\text{--}10^5 M_\odot$ ) black holes (Colbert & Mushotzky 1999; Krolik 2004); alternatively, they may be stellar mass black holes in X-ray binaries that are beamed (King et al. 2001; Körding, Falcke, & Markoff 2002) or have super-Eddington accretion rates (Begelman 2002). More information about such sources, particularly information about environment and possible optical counter-

parts, is needed to distinguish between these scenarios.

In order to study hot gas, superwinds, and ULXs in starburst galaxies, we require systems close enough that their X-ray emission can be resolved by the *Chandra* telescope. Targeting starburst galaxies undergoing an interaction with another galaxy can be especially useful if detailed dynamical modeling of the interaction is available. For only a few starburst systems are sufficient data available for such a comparison. One such system is the peculiar galaxy pair NGC 7714/5 (Arp 284), which contains one of the best-studied examples of an interaction-induced starburst. NGC 7714 is relatively nearby at only 37 Mpc ( $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ;  $1'' = 180 \text{ pc}$ ).

### 1.2. The NGC 7714 Starburst and its Superwind

Among nearby interacting systems not known to contain active nuclei, NGC 7714 has one of the most X-ray active starbursts. Although the far-infrared, blue, and X-ray luminosities of NGC 7714 are in the middle of the range for spiral galaxies, its X-ray to blue luminosity ratio  $L_X/L_B$  is almost an order of magnitude higher than typical values for spirals (David, Jones, & Forman 1992). The starburst in NGC 7714 is often considered the prototype of compact starburst nuclei (e.g., Weedman et al. 1981). The UV, optical, and near-infrared spectrum of the central  $\approx 2''$  of NGC 7714 has been the subject of spectral synthesis studies (González-Delgado et al. 1999; Lançon et al. 2001), which imply a  $\approx 5 \text{ Myr}$  old starburst superposed on an older population.

The unusual morphology of NGC 7714/5 provides strong constraints on its dynamical history, making possible a detailed comparison of the parameters of its starburst and the parameters of its interaction. NGC 7714 has a partial ring, two tails to the west and another to the east (Figure 1; Arp 1966). The presence of both a par-

tial ring and tails suggest an off-center collision (Smith & Wallin 1992). In 21 cm HI maps (Figure 2; Smith, Struck, & Pogge 1997), a gaseous counterpart to the western stellar tail makes a full loop back to the bridge. This loop implies a prograde encounter relative to NGC 7714 (Struck & Smith 2003). This bridge is rich in HI ( $M_{\text{HI}} \approx 1.5 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ ; Smith, Struck, & Pogge 1997), with luminous H II regions (Bernlöhr 1993; González-Delgado et al. 1995; Smith, Struck, & Pogge 1997). The gas and young stars in the bridge are offset to the north of an older stellar bridge. Star formation is also seen in an arc northwest of the nucleus, southeast of the nucleus, and in the inner western tail (Bernlöhr 1993; González-Delgado et al. 1995; Smith, Struck, & Pogge 1997). Molecular gas has been detected in the disk of NGC 7714, but not elsewhere (Smith & Struck 2001).

Using these constraints as well as kinematical information, we have constructed a detailed hydrodynamical model of this system (Struck & Smith 2003). Our model predicts significant mass transfer from NGC 7715 to NGC 7714, fueling the central starburst and creating the inner southwestern tail. The star formation history of NGC 7714 predicted by our dynamical model is consistent with that inferred from spectral synthesis models. In the model, however, the predicted gas velocity dispersion in the center of NGC 7714 is significantly less than in the real HI map, implying contributions from a superwind. This is confirmed by ultraviolet spectroscopy with the Far-Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE), which shows a  $\approx 900 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  wind (Keel, Holberg, & Treuthardt 2004).

With the ROSAT X-ray satellite, Papaderos & Fricke (1998) found extended X-ray emission near the center of NGC 7714, and concluded that this was due to hot gas heated by a nuclear starburst. Alternatively, the X-ray emission from the NGC 7714 nucleus may be due to X-ray binaries (Ward 1988). Papaderos & Fricke (1998) also detected a second X-ray source  $20''$  east of the nucleus, which they suggested was due to hot gas heated by either infall of gas from the bridge or by a nuclear superwind. More recent observations with the XMM-Newton X-ray telescope by Soria & Motch (2004) showed that this eastern source is variable and point-like, indicating that it is a possible ULX rather than hot gas. Soria & Motch

(2004) also found that the XMM-Newton spectrum of the central region had two components, a non-variable thermal plasma and a variable power law, suggesting a possible obscured active galactic nucleus (AGN). In contrast, Spitzer infrared spectroscopy shows no evidence for an obscured AGN (Brandl et al. 2004).

## 2. Observations and Data Reduction

To study the X-ray emission from NGC 7714/5 at higher spatial resolution than previous observations, we observed NGC 7714/5 for 60 ksec on 24 January 2004 with the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (*ACIS*) backside-illuminated CCD chip S3 on the *Chandra* X-ray Telescope (Weiskopf et al. 2002). This CCD has  $1024 \times 1024$   $0''.492$  pixels. To minimize pile-up, we only read out 640 chip rows, giving an observed field of view of  $5'.2 \times 8'.4$ . This covers the entire NGC 7714/5 system, except for the end of the eastern NGC 7715 tail. The CCD temperature was  $-120^\circ$ , the frame time was 2.1 s, and the events were telemetered in FAINT mode. In addition to the S3 chip (the focus of this paper), the S1, S2, S4, I3, and I4 chips were also read out.

Initial data reductions were done using the **CIAO** v3.1 software<sup>1</sup>. We tested for time intervals with high X-ray background, and only included events with ASCA grades 0, 2, 3, 4, and 6, and removed standard bad pixels and columns. The energy range was restricted to  $0.3 - 8 \text{ keV}$  and then further divided into three ranges:  $0.3 - 1$ ,  $1 - 2.5$ , and  $2.5 - 8 \text{ keV}$ . The binned images were adaptively smoothed using the **CIAO** routine *CSMOOTH*, using a minimal significance of signal-to-noise ratio ( $S/N$ ) = 2.5 and a maximal significance of  $S/N$  = 5. The smoothed maps were then divided by a similarly smoothed exposure map to convert into physical units and to remove instrumental artifacts. We experimented with exposure maps created using different parameters, including both monochromatic maps at various energies and weighted maps. In all cases, the basic morphology of the extended emission remained the same, although the absolute flux level varied. For our final  $0.3 - 8 \text{ keV}$  map, we used an expo-

<sup>1</sup>CIAO is the *Chandra* Interactive Analysis of Observations data analysis system package, available at <http://xc.harvard.edu/ciao>.

sure map weighted by the spectrum of the  $27''.6$  radius region centered on the NGC 7714 nucleus. For the final  $0.3 - 1$ ,  $1 - 2.5$ , and  $2.5 - 8$  keV maps, we used monochromatic 0.8, 1.5, and 3 keV exposure maps, respectively.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Morphology

In Figure 3, the final smoothed *Chandra* images in various energy ranges are shown, with contours of the broadband optical light from the Digitized Sky Survey<sup>2</sup> (DSS) superimposed. In Figure 4, the  $H\alpha$  image from Smith, Struck, & Pogge (1997) is superimposed on the *Chandra*  $0.3 - 8$  keV map. An expanded view of the *Chandra* data, in contours, is superimposed on  $H\alpha$  in Figure 5. The *Chandra* contours are overlaid on an archival Hubble Space Telescope (HST) WFPC2 broadband red F606W image in Figure 6. We registered the HST image by assuming the brightest *Chandra* source is associated with the brightest source in the HST image; this also provides good alignment for the extra-nuclear HII regions.

These figures show significant correlations between the diffuse X-ray emission and star formation. An extended arc of X-ray emission is detected along the inner western tail of NGC 7714, coincident with  $H\alpha$  emission (HII region complex C; Figure 5). Diffuse X-ray emission is also observed in the HII region complexes northwest and north of the NGC 7714 nucleus (Regions B and E; Figure 5). Interestingly, the HII region complex between these two complexes (Region D) is not clearly detected in X-rays, even though it is brighter in  $H\alpha$  than complex E to its east. A fourth HII region complex  $\approx 6''$  to the southeast of the nucleus (Region A) is visible in  $H\alpha$  and X-rays, as well as in the HST map (Figure 6), the radio continuum map of Condon et al. (1990), and the  $\text{Br}\gamma$  image of Kotilainen et al. (2001). For HII regions A, B, C, and E, the radii inside of which 50% of the observed counts are contained are  $1''.5$

(3 pixels = 270 pc),  $2''.7$  (5.2 pixels = 500 pc),  $5''$  (10 pixels = 900 pc), and  $1''$  (2 pixels = 180 pc), respectively, compared to  $0''.5$  (1 pixel) for the two brightest isolated point sources in the field, ULX candidates #4 and #12 (see Section 3.3).

Figure 6 also shows diffuse X-ray emission extending  $11''$  (1.9 kpc) to the northeast of the nucleus. Faint extended  $H\alpha$  emission is seen in the inner portion of this feature. Comparison between the different images in Figure 3 shows that the diffuse X-ray-emitting gas generally has a soft X-ray spectrum compared to the point sources.

#### 3.2. The Central Region of NGC 7714

The high resolution *Chandra* map shows that there are two central X-ray sources separated by  $1''.5$  (270 pc), with the brighter source being to the southwest (see Figure 7a). The  $0.3 - 8$  keV X-ray luminosities for the nucleus and secondary source are  $4.4 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  and  $1.1 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ , respectively (see Section 3.4). Both sources are unresolved in the *Chandra* image, with the brighter source being centered between two image pixels. In the registered HST F606W image, there is a point-like optical counterpart within  $0''.4$  of the fainter *Chandra* source (see Figure 7b). This source is also visible in archival F300W, F380W, F555W, and F814W HST images (see Section 4.3).

#### 3.3. Point Sources

We used the **CIAO** *WAVDETECT* routine to search for point sources in the *Chandra* data. Our observations are sensitive to point sources at the distance of NGC 7714/5 with  $0.5 - 8$  keV luminosities (assuming isotropy) greater than approximately  $2 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ , depending upon spectral shape (flux density  $\gtrsim 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ). *WAVDETECT* detected 13 sources near NGC 7714/5 (see Figure 8 and Table 1), in addition to the nucleus of NGC 7714.

Sources #3 and #13 are relatively far from the optical features of the galaxies and so are likely background. This leaves 11 candidate ULXs within the optical extent of the galaxy. Using source counts from the Chandra Deep Field (Brandt et al. 2001; Rosati et al. 2002) and extrapolating to our bandpass as in Humphrey et al. (2003), we expect  $\approx 2$  background sources above our flux limit within the optical extent of the

<sup>2</sup>The Digitized Sky Survey, a compressed digital form of the Palomar Observatory Sky Atlas, was produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute under US Government grant NAGW-2166. The National Geographic Society-Palomar Observatory Sky Atlas (POSS-I) was made by the California Institute of Technology with grants from the National Geographic Society.

galaxy. Thus most of these 11 point sources are likely ULXs associated with NGC 7714/5. Two of these sources, #4 and #12, are very bright, with 2657 and 1076 counts, respectively. Source #4, the object previously studied by Papaderos & Fricke (1998) and Soria & Motch (2004), lies in the NGC 7714 ring, as does source #5. Sources #8 and #9 are in the inner western tail, while source #1 appears associated with the eastern NGC 7715 tail. Sources #10, #11, and #12 may be associated with the outer western tail. Source #2 appears to be in the disk of NGC 7715. Sources #6 and #7 lie near the NGC 7714 bar.

Sources #1, #2, #3, #4, #11, #12, and #13 and possibly #9 are marginally visible in the XMM-Newton image of Soria & Motch (2004), while #5, #6, #7, and #8 are unresolved from the nucleus. Interestingly, source #12, which is extremely bright in the *Chandra* data, is faint in the XMM-Newton map, implying an increase of a factor of  $\approx 10$  in luminosity since the XMM-Newton observations. It was not detected in the less sensitive 1994 ROSAT HRI observations of Papaderos & Fricke (1998).

Only two of the candidate ULX sources in Table 1 are associated with on-going star formation: #8, which is associated with HII region C, and #9, which is coincident with faint H $\alpha$  emission on the Smith, Struck, & Pogge (1997) H $\alpha$  map. Source #6 is close to but offset from star formation regions in the inner disk of NGC 7714 (see Figures 5 and 6). None of the other ULX candidates have optical counterparts on archival HST or Digitized Sky Survey images.

No X-ray counterpart was found in the *Chandra* data for SN 1999dn, a Type Ib (H poor) supernova 14'' southeast of the nucleus (Deng et al. 2000), or for the possible supernova 2''W, 5''N of the nucleus claimed by Mattila et al. (2002). Our upper limit to the 0.3 – 8 keV X-ray luminosity of these sources is  $\approx 2 \times 10^{38}$  erg s $^{-1}$ .

X-ray detections of optically-visible supernovae are uncommon. The Immler & Lewin (2003) compilation of X-ray supernovae contains only 15 detections, with X-ray luminosities of  $10^{37} - 10^{40}$  erg s $^{-1}$ . Of these 15, none were Type Ib and only three were Type Ic. More recently, four additional Type Ic supernovae were detected, bringing the count to seven (Pooley 2004). The type Ib/c supernova 2001em in UGC 11794 was de-

tected by *Chandra* with a very high luminosity of  $\approx 10^{41}$  erg s $^{-1}$  (Pooley & Lewin 2004), significantly higher than our upper limit for SN 1999dn.

### 3.4. X-ray Spectra

X-ray spectra for various regions in the NGC 7714/5 field were extracted using **CIAO** and spectral fitting was done using the **ISIS** data reduction package (Houck & Denicola 2000). The best-fit parameters are given in Table 2, and the fits are plotted against the observed spectra in Figure 9. Before fitting the spectra, the data were rebinned into 20 counts bin $^{-1}$ . The fits in Table 2 were made using the 0.5 – 8 keV range; similar results were found with 0.3 – 8 keV. The quoted uncertainties are 90% confidence level. To estimate background counts, the deep ACIS observations of blank fields provided by the ACIS calibration team were used (file *acis7sD200-12-01bkgrndN0002.fits*), after reprojection and scaling to our observations. These background counts are consistent with, but higher S/N than, counts from selected regions at the edge of our field.

The spectrum of the NGC 7714 nuclear region (within a radius of 0''.86 = 150 pc) could be fit to a Mekal function with  $kT = 9 \pm \frac{4}{2}$  keV and a column density  $n_H$  of  $1.5 \pm \frac{0.3}{0.4} \times 10^{21}$  cm $^{-2}$ . The abundance is not well-constrained by this fit ( $\leq 2$  solar). An absorbed power law function also produces a good fit, with photon index  $\Gamma = 1.7 \pm \frac{0.1}{0.0}$  and  $n_H = 2.2 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{21}$  cm $^{-2}$ . The spectrum of the secondary nuclear source (in a radius of 0''.74) could be fit to a power law with  $\Gamma = 1.9 \pm \frac{0.3}{0.0}$ . This source requires a column density similar to that of the nucleus,  $2.0 \pm \frac{0.9}{0.7} \times 10^{21}$  cm $^{-2}$ . No Fe-K emission was detected, with an upper limit of  $\lesssim 1.2 \times 10^{-14}$  erg s $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ .

We extracted a spectrum for the extended emission in the central region of NGC 7714 using a circular region with a 9''.5 radius centered 1''.5E, 2''.0N of the nucleus, excluding the regions used for the nuclear and secondary source spectra and HII region A 6'' southeast of the nucleus. The spectrum of this extended emission is inconsistent with that of a power law or single temperature gas. It is well-fit by a two-temperature Mekal function, with temperatures of  $0.59 \pm \frac{0.05}{0.06}$  keV and  $8 \pm \frac{10}{3}$  keV and a column density of  $5 \pm \frac{4}{3} \times 10^{20}$  cm $^{-2}$ . The spectrum can also be well-fit by a 0.6 keV Mekal function plus a power law with  $\Gamma = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ .

The total 0.3 – 8 keV luminosity of this extended emission is  $3.5 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ , with the 0.6 and 6 keV components contributing  $1.1 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  (33%) and  $2.3 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  (67%), respectively. For both the two thermal plasma fits and the thermal plasma plus power law fits, we allowed the silicon abundance to be a free parameter (constrained to be the same for both plasmas), to account for the 2 keV feature seen in Figure 9. Both of these fits are consistent with a Si abundance near solar ( $1.2^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$  for the former, and  $0.9 \pm 0.7$  for the latter), and are marginally inconsistent with the 0.25 solar abundance assumed for the other metals. An enhancement of  $\alpha$ -elements such as Si compared to other elements is expected in starbursts, since they are predominantly produced by type II supernovae (e.g., Arnett 1995).

We separated the extended emission into two zones, with the inner being a  $3''$  radius region centered on the nucleus (excluding the nucleus itself), and the outer being the remainder (excluding the secondary source and HII region complex A). The spectra for both the inner and outer zones requires two components, and were well-fit with either two thermal plasmas, or a thermal plasma and a power law. The temperatures and spectral indices of these components were similar to those found above. The total 0.3 – 8 keV luminosity for the inner region is  $2.4 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ , with  $17 \pm 13\%$  coming from the soft component and  $83 \pm 13\%$  coming from the hard component. For the outer region, the total X-ray luminosity is  $1.2 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ , with  $66 \pm 34\%$  from the cooler component, and  $34 \pm 16\%$  from the hard component. Thus the fraction of hard radiation decreases with radius.

When separating the central diffuse spectrum into two regions, the evidence for a greater than solar silicon abundance for the inner region becomes stronger. Again, we have constrained the Si abundance to be the same in each component of the two plasma fits. The 90% lower limits for the Si abundance are 1.6 and 2.9 solar for the two thermal plasma and thermal plasma plus power law fits, respectively. As also discussed by Soria & Motch (2004), there is some evidence for abundance gradients when comparing the inner to outer region. In Figure 9, the silicon feature at 2 keV is greatly diminished for the outer diffuse region. However, the error bars on the Si abun-

dance for the outer region are large and greatly overlap with those from the inner region. Thus, one can attribute the loss of the Si feature to being predominantly caused by the loss of the hard X-ray component, rather than specifically to an abundance gradient.

The extra-nuclear HII region complexes had too few counts to fit X-ray spectra. Assuming a Mekeal function with a temperature of 6.0 keV,  $N_H = 2 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (from the HI map of Smith, Struck, & Pogge 1997), and abundances of 0.5 solar for HII region A and 0.25 solar for the rest (from González-Delgado et al. 1995), we obtain 0.3 – 8 keV luminosities for the HII region complexes of  $2 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  to  $5 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  (see Table 3). Lowering the assumed temperature to 0.65 keV decreases these luminosities by a factor of  $\approx 7$ , while lowering the column density by a factor of 10 decreases these values by  $\approx 40\%$ .

Only ULX candidates #4 and #12 were bright enough to be spectrally-fit. Source #4, the bright source in the ring, could be well fit with an absorbed power-law with  $\Gamma = 1.9 \pm 0.1$  and  $n_H = 1.9 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . For source #12, a single absorbed power law gave a reasonable fit ( $\chi^2_\nu = 1.24$ ) for  $\Gamma = 1.6 \pm 0.2$  and a low column density of  $n_H = 6 \pm 5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . Adding a thermal second component does not significantly improve this fit. For the fainter point sources in Table 1, we calculated their X-ray luminosities assuming  $\Gamma = 1.8$  and  $n_H = 1.8 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , and assuming that they are at the distance of NGC 7714.

For the sources with too few counts to fit spectra, plotting X-ray colors on a color-color diagram can provide some indication of the photon index and column density. Following the lead of Prestwich et al. (2003), for the fainter candidate ULXs and the HII regions in NGC 7714/5, we plot the soft X-ray color  $(M - S)/(S + M + H)$  against the hard X-ray color  $(H - M)/(S + M + H)$  in Figure 10, where S is the counts in the 0.3 – 1 keV band, M is the counts in the 1 – 2 keV band, and H is the counts in the 2 – 8 keV band. We have over-plotted curves indicating the colors of absorbed power laws with column densities from  $10^{20} - 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , and photon indices  $\Gamma = 1 - 4$ . Figure 10 shows that these objects have colors typical of luminous point sources in other galaxies (Prestwich et al. 2003; Schwarz et al. 2004). The inferred column densities and photon indices for

ULX candidates #4 and #12 are reasonably consistent with those obtained from the spectral fits (Table 2). The HII regions have somewhat softer X-ray colors than the point sources, and regions B, C, and E appear somewhat less absorbed than HII region A, as expected from their locations in the galaxy.

### 3.5. Variability

We have assessed the variability of the two nuclear sources and the remaining candidate ULX sources, #1-13, with the ‘Bayesian Blocks’ algorithm of Scargle et al. (2004, in prep.)<sup>3</sup>. The algorithm takes an event lightcurve and finds the ‘optimal partitioning’ into piecewise-constant ‘blocks’ of uniform rate. Thus, a variable lightcurve is represented as a series of step functions. The partitioning of the lightcurve is governed by a prior probability for the count rate in a block (here we choose this probability as  $\propto e^{(-\Lambda/\Lambda_0)}$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the count rate and  $\Lambda_0$  is the mean rate over the entire lightcurve), and a prior probability for the number of blocks,  $N_b$ , that is  $\propto \gamma^{N_b}$ . Thus,  $1 - \gamma$  is, very roughly, similar to a ‘significance level’ for *each* block; however, it should not be interpreted as a strict quantitative assessment of significance. The advantage of this algorithm is that it makes no prior assumption concerning the time scales of variability – either long or short time scale blocks can be found – nor on the location or number of the block boundaries. In the results described below, we find evidence for both short and long time scale variability.

We first apply the variability search to the primary nucleus. At values of  $1 - \gamma < 0.96$ , the lightcurve is divided into three blocks. The first block has a duration of approximately 40 ksec and a count rate of  $(2.72 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-2}$  cps, while the last block has a count rate of  $(2.31 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$  cps and a duration of approximately 20 ksec. The intervening block is only 128 sec wide and contains 13 photons, for a nominal count rate of  $0.10 \pm 0.03$  cps. Viewed individually, this nearly factor of four flare is over 99.99% significant; however, accounting for the fact that there are 470

independent 128 sec intervals in our data, the significance level is 96%, in good agreement with the simple interpretation of  $1 - \gamma$ . The two large blocks, on the other hand, are detected for values of  $1 - \gamma < 0.995$ , in reasonable agreement with the fact that their count rates are approximately  $4\sigma$  apart from one another.

The secondary nucleus shows even more statistically significant variability. For values of  $\gamma > 4 \times 10^{-7}$ , the lightcurve is divided into two blocks. The first block is of duration 46 ksec and has a rate of  $(8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$  cps, while the second is of duration 14 ksec and has a rate of  $(1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$  cps. Substructure is only found for  $1 - \gamma < 0.85$ , and therefore is only marginally significant. In Figure 11 we show the lightcurves for the primary and secondary nucleus, binned to 1 ksec and 2 ksec, respectively, and we overplot the ‘two block’ decompositions found in our variability searches.

We also have used the Bayesian Blocks algorithm to search all 13 candidate ULX sources for signatures of variability. Only the second brightest source, ULX #12, shows any significant variability. For values of  $1 - \gamma < 0.92$ , the ULX #12 lightcurve is decomposed into five blocks, with the first and last blocks having rates of  $(1.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$  cps. These blocks are separated by a 19.2 sec interval with six photons (divided into 3 blocks), which is approximately 15 times the mean count rate. This represents a single realization probability of occurrence of  $6 \times 10^{-7}$ . Factoring in that there are 3128 independent 19.2 sec intervals in our data, this yields a 99.6% significance level for this source. Even accounting for the fact that we have searched for variability in all 13 ULX lightcurves, this is still 95% significant. We further note that all 6 events come from a  $2 \times 3$  pixel region located at the center of the source position, and thus are indeed identified with the ULX source.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. The Nuclear Extinction

The best fits to the X-ray spectra of the NGC 7714 nucleus and the secondary source near the nucleus both require reasonably high column densities of  $N_H \approx 2.2 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . This is higher than the sum of the HI absorption column density of  $5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (Smith, Struck, & Pogge 1997) plus the Galactic extinction of  $\approx 3 \times 10^{20}$

<sup>3</sup>The specific implementation that we used was from the S-lang ISIS Timing Analysis Routines, v.0.4.2, available and described at <http://space.mit.edu/CXC/analysis/SITAR>.

$\text{cm}^{-2}$  (Burnstein & Heiles 1982; Stark et al. 1992; Schlegel, Finkbeiner, & Davis 1998). Our X-ray-derived column densities, however, are consistent with extinctions obtained from optical and near-infrared hydrogen recombinations,  $A_V \approx 1 - 2$  (Puxley & Brand 1994; Calzetti, Kinney, & Storchi-Bergmann 1996; Kotilainen et al. 2001), which imply  $N_H \approx 2 - 4 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  using the standard ratio of  $N_H/E(B - V) = 5.8 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}/\text{mag}$  (Bohlin, Savage, & Drake 1978). This suggests additional extinction from dust associated with molecular gas in addition to that associated with HI. HI emission line maps show a central depression in NGC 7714 (Smith, Struck, & Pogge 1997), while 2.6 mm CO interferometric maps show a central  $\approx 5'' \times 10''$  source elongated southeast-northwest (Ishizuki 1993). The CO surface brightness for this source is  $\approx 25 \text{ K km s}^{-1}$ , which corresponds to  $N_{H_2} = 6.5 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , using the standard Galactic  $N_{H_2}/I(\text{CO})$  ratio of Bloemen et al. (1986). This is consistent with column densities based on the X-ray and hydrogen recombination line data.

#### 4.2. The Origin of the X-Rays from the Nuclear Starburst

Possible contributors to the X-ray emission from a nuclear starburst include supernovae, O stars, Wolf Rayet (WR) stars, hot gas, high mass X-ray binaries (HMXBs), ULXs, and an obscured AGN. In the NGC 7714 nucleus, supernovae and hot stars are likely to be minor factors. In their stellar synthesis study of the inner  $0''.9$  (150 pc) radius of NGC 7714, González-Delgado et al. (1999) obtained a starburst age of 5 Myr, with a supernova rate of  $0.007 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . With new optical and near-infrared data, Lançon et al. (2001) updated this model, and concluded that an older population was also required. One possibility is a second intermediate-age ( $\approx 20$  Myr) burst, which boosts the predicted supernova rate by a factor of  $\approx 10$ . Assuming an average  $L_X \approx 2 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  per supernova and a time scale of  $\approx 2 \times 10^4 \text{ yr}$  for supernova remnants (Cowie et al. 1981; Williams et al. 1997), the X-ray luminosity from supernovae is  $\approx 3 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ,  $< 10\%$  of the absorption-corrected *Chandra* X-ray luminosity of the nucleus of  $4 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ . Typical X-ray luminosities for O stars and WR stars are  $\approx 10^{31-32} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  and  $\leq 10^{33} - 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ , respectively (Sciortino et al.

1990; Guerrero Roncel & Chu 2003). The NGC 7714 nucleus has  $\approx 2000$  WR stars and  $\approx 16,600$  O stars (González-Delgado et al. 1999), implying a total  $L_X \approx 10^{36} - 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  from these stars,  $< 1\%$  of the observed X-ray luminosity.

The contribution from hot gas heated by injection of mechanical energy from supernovae is more uncertain. Assuming each supernova contributes  $10^{51} \text{ erg}$  of kinetic energy, the Lançon et al. (2001) supernova rate implies a mechanical luminosity from the supernovae of  $L_{SN} \approx 2 \times 10^{42} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$ ,  $50\times$  larger than the *Chandra* X-ray luminosity for this region. This indicates that mechanical energy from supernovae is sufficient to account for the X-ray luminosity if the efficiency of converting this mechanical energy into X-rays  $L_X/L_{mech}$  is greater than  $\approx 2\%$ . We discuss this X-ray production factor  $L_X/L_{mech}$  further in Section 4.5; at the present time it is quite uncertain.

Another possible source of nuclear X-ray emission is HMXBs, which are associated with a young stellar population. For Local Group galaxies, Helfand & Moran (2001) found ratios of the total X-ray luminosity from HMXB,  $L_X(\text{HMXB})$ , to the number of O stars of  $2 - 20 \times 10^{34} \text{ erg s}^{-1}/\text{star}$ . These ratios imply that  $< 10\%$  of the nuclear X-ray flux from NGC 7714 is due to HMXBs. This limit is uncertain, however, since the Helfand & Moran (2001) ratios are averaged over entire galaxies with a range of stellar ages. In an single coeval star formation region, one would expect no HMXBs until stars start evolving off the main sequence, after which  $L_X(\text{HMXB})/L_{H\alpha}$  will increase. The evolution of the HMXB luminosity function and  $L_X(\text{HMXB})/L_{H\alpha}$  in starbursts is still not well-determined, thus how much HMXBs contribute to the X-ray emission from the nuclear region is still uncertain.

Alternatively, the unresolved nuclear emission may be caused by either a low-luminosity AGN or one or more ULX-like objects. This idea is supported by the possible variability of the nucleus, which suggests that the emission is dominated by a single source or a few sources. The X-ray spectrum and luminosity is consistent with those of both AGNs and ULXs (Schwarz et al. 2004), however, as noted earlier, Spitzer infrared spectra show no evidence for an obscured AGN (Brandl et al. 2004). The upper limit to the luminosity of the Fe-K line from the nucleus is  $2 \times$



$10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ . Comparing with the far-infrared luminosity of  $8.3 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  (David, Jones, & Forman 1992) gives  $L_{Fe-K}/L_{FIR} \leq 2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ , 1 – 2 orders of magnitude lower than that of typical Type 2 Seyferts (Ptak et al. 2003), which argues against an obscured AGN. Furthermore, the 128 second flare followed by a diminution of the X-ray flux is similar to the X-ray flares seen in the microquasar GRS 1915+105 immediately preceding radio ejection events (e.g., Belloni et al. 1997). If this flare is real, then assuming that its time scale must be longer than a dynamical time scale, e.g., the orbital period at the innermost stable circular orbit of a Schwarzschild black hole, limits the compact object mass to  $\lesssim 3 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$ . This mass limit also argues against an obscured AGN.

### 4.3. The Secondary Nuclear Source

The secondary nuclear source in NGC 7714 may be an ULX, having an X-ray luminosity and power law spectrum consistent with that of the ULXs studied by Schwarz et al. (2004). We obtained HST WFPC2 magnitudes for the possible optical counterpart to this source using the IRAF software<sup>4</sup> with a 4 pixel radius aperture and an annulus for sky subtraction with inner and outer radii of 7 and 11 pixels, respectively. After doing an aperture correction as in Holtzman et al. (1995b) and the WFPC2 Data Handbook, the F300W, F380W, F555W, F606W, and F814W magnitudes are 17.5, 18.6, 18.8, 18.7, and 19.2, respectively. Iteratively using the conversions in the IRAF *synphot* database, we find  $V \approx 18.8$ ,  $U - B \approx -0.6$ ,  $B - V \approx -0.1$ , and  $V - I \approx -0.4$ .

As discussed in Section 4.1, the extinction to the ionized gas in the nuclear region is  $A_V \approx 1 - 2$ . However, from the slope of the UV spectrum, González-Delgado et al. (1999) conclude that the stars in the nuclear region that are contributing to the UV flux are significantly less obscured on average than the ionized gas,  $A_V \approx 0.1$ . A low extinction is consistent with the very blue optical colors of this source, typical of B stars. The absolute V magnitude of this optical source is therefore  $\approx -14.1$  or brighter. This magnitude and the opti-

cal diameter of  $\leq 30 \text{ pc}$  are consistent with those of the brightest of the so-called ‘super star clusters’ often found in HST images of interacting galaxies, which are believed to be very young globular clusters (Whitmore et al. 1993; Whitmore & Schweizer 1995; Whitmore et al. 1999; Zepf et al. 1999; Holtzman et al. 1992, 1996; Elmegreen et al. 2001). This source is bluer than most of the super star clusters found to date (Whitmore et al. 1999; Zepf et al. 1999; Elmegreen et al. 2001), with colors that imply a cluster age of  $< 4 \text{ Myrs}$  (Leitherer et al. 1999).

This optical source is just one of more than 20 such optical clusters seen in the inner region of NGC 7714 (see Figure 7b), thus it is possible it is just a chance alignment of an optical cluster with the ULX. Assuming the association is correct, this source has an apparent X-ray-optical ratio of  $\log(F_X/F_V) \approx -0.3$  (calculated as in Maccacaro et al. 1988). This source is somewhat bluer and more optically-luminous than the possible optical counterparts to about a dozen ULXs found in the Antennae galaxy ( $M_V = -10$  to  $-13.7$ ,  $B - V = 0$  to  $0.8$ ,  $\log(F_X/F_V) = -1.5$  to  $0.7$ ; Zezas et al. 2002). Excluding the Antennae sources, most optical counterparts to ULXs found so far are much less optically-luminous than the NGC 7714 source, but similarly blue. In Table 4, we tabulate information about other possible optical counterparts to ULXs from the literature. The NGC 1637, M81, M82 Einstein #11, M83, and NGC 5204 ULXs have similar optical colors, but much lower optical luminosities (Stocke, Wurtz, & Kühr 1991; Immler et al. 1999, 2003; Liu, Bregman, & Seitzer 2002, 2004), while the NGC 4565 source and the M82 MGG-11 source are both redder and less luminous (Wu et al. 2002). Most of these other ULXs have optical magnitudes and colors consistent with single O and B stars, thus they may be compact objects in accreting binary systems. In contrast, the NGC 7714 and Antennae ULX optical counterparts are consistent with very luminous young star clusters. Perhaps these sources are accreting binary systems within or recently ejected from very young star clusters.

The optical magnitude of the apparent optical counterpart to the secondary nuclear source in NGC 7714 is similar to the nuclei of the ‘normal’ non-AGN spirals studied by Phillips et al. (1996) and Böker et al. (2004), who suggest that these nu-

<sup>4</sup>IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

clei are simply compact star clusters. This source is about 5 magnitudes less luminous in V than typical Seyfert nuclei (Chen et al. 1985; Granato et al. 1993), thus it does not appear to be a second obscured nucleus. The presence of variability argues that the majority of the X-ray emission is likely associated with a single source, however, the timescales involved do not strongly limit the mass of the emitting object. The observed variability could be consistent with either a stellar mass or supermassive black hole.

#### 4.4. The Superwind

##### 4.4.1. The Age of the Superwind

The extended X-ray emission in the central region of NGC 7714 may be due at least in part to a superwind from the nucleus. The 1.9 kpc radial extent of this emission can be used to estimate the age of the superwind, assuming it is a ‘superbubble’ of hot gas produced by the combined effect of supernova and stellar winds from the nuclear starburst. The expansion timescale of a superbubble can be estimated from  $t_7^{-3/5} \approx 2.8\eta^{1/5} L_{\text{mech}}^{1/5} n_H^{-1/5} / r_{\text{shell}}$ , where  $t_7$  is the timescale in units of  $10^7$  years,  $L_{\text{mech}}$  is the mechanical energy of the supernovae in units of  $10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ,  $n_H$  is the average hydrogen number density in units of  $1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\eta \approx 0.1$  is the efficiency of the kinetic energy deposited into the gas (Dyson & Williams 1980), and  $r_{\text{shell}}$  is the radius of the bubble in kpc (Shull 1995; Taniguchi et al. 2001). Using  $L_{\text{mech}} \approx E_{\text{SN}} R_{\text{SN}}$ ,  $E_{\text{SN}} \approx 10^{51} \text{ erg}$   $\approx$  the energy of a single supernova, and hydrogen number density  $n_H \approx 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  gives  $L_{\text{mech}} \approx 2.3 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ , using a supernova rate  $R_{\text{SN}} \approx 0.07 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . This implies a timescale of  $\approx 15 \text{ Myrs}$  for the bubble. Allowing time for supernova activity to begin, this timescale is consistent with the age inferred for the possible intermediate-age starburst in NGC 7714 (Lançon et al. 2001), which is likely the dominant source of the supernovae which power this wind (Section 4.2).

##### 4.4.2. The Hard Component of the Extended Emission

The extended emission seen in *Chandra* observations of many starburst galaxies can be fit to temperatures ranging from 0.1 – 0.9 keV, without needing a hard component (e.g., NGC 4631, Wang

et al. 2001; NGC 4676, Read 2003; NGC 4038/9, Fabbiano et al. 2003, 2004; NGC 4449, Summers et al. 2003; NGC 1800, Rasmussen, Stevens, & Ponman 2004). In contrast, spectral fitting of the extended emission in NGC 7714 requires a second hard component in addition to a colder thermal component. NGC 7714 is not unique, however. In M82, a 2 – 5 keV diffuse component has been detected (Griffith et al. 2000; Strickland et al. 2004a), while in NGC 3256 three thermal components at 0.6, 0.9, and 3.9 keV were fit to the extended emission (Lira et al. 2002). In some cases, in addition to one or two 0.1 – 0.9 keV thermal components, a hard power law component was also included in the fit (NGC 4214, Hartwell et al. 2004; NGC 5253, Summers et al. 2004; M83, Soria & Wu 2002).

A hard spatially-extended component in galaxies is often assumed to be due to unresolved X-ray binaries, either low mass (LMXBs), which are associated with the older stellar population, or the HMXBs discussed in Section 4.2. An upper limit to the X-ray luminosity from LMXBs can be obtained from the lower envelope of the global  $L_B$ -vs- $L_X$  plot for elliptical and S0 galaxies (Canizares, Fabbiano, & Trinchieri 1987; Ciotti et al. 1991). In X-ray faint, gas-poor early-type galaxies without much on-going star formation, the total X-ray luminosity is an upper limit to the emission from LMXBs. This limit is  $L_X(\text{LMXB}) \leq 1.5 \times 10^{40} L_B / (10^{11} L_\odot) \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  (Ciotti et al. 1991), where  $L_B$  is defined as  $L_B = \text{dex}[-0.4(M_B - 5.41)]$  (Canizares, Fabbiano, & Trinchieri 1987). Since *Chandra* observations of some of these X-ray-faint early type galaxies show that at most  $\approx 30\%$  of this flux can be attributed to unresolved LMXB with  $L_X \leq 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  (Sarazin, Irwin, & Bregman 2001; Sivakoff, Sarazin, & Irwin 2003; O’Sullivan & Ponman 2004), this limit can be lowered by a factor of  $\approx 3$ . These conversions are somewhat uncertain, as the  $L_X(\text{LMXB})/L_B$  ratio for galaxian bulges may vary from galaxy to galaxy (Irwin, Sarazin, & Bregman 2002).

The uncorrected blue luminosity of NGC 7714 within a  $21''$  aperture is  $6.6 \times 10^9 L_\odot$  (Huchra 1977), approximately the area of the superwind. This is an over-estimate of the light due to old stars, since it contains the starburst nucleus as well as HII region A. Using  $A_V \approx 1.5$  as an upper limit to the extinction to the older stars in the disk

(see Sections 4.1 and 4.3) gives  $L_X(\text{LMXB}) \leq 2 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ,  $\approx 10\%$  of the extended hard X-ray component. Thus LMXBs likely do not account for all of this hard radiation.

An estimate of the contribution by HMXBs to the extended X-ray emission in the NGC 7714 disk can be made from the  $H\alpha$  emission in the ‘superwind’ region and the Helfand & Moran (2001)  $L_X(\text{HMXB})/\text{O}$  star ratio for Local Group galaxies. The total extinction-corrected  $H\alpha$  luminosity of NGC 7714 minus the HII regions and the inner  $\approx 1''$  is  $\approx 2.8 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$  (González-Delgado et al. 1995, 1999). This implies  $L_X(\text{HMXB}) \leq 1.0 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ,  $\approx 50\%$  of the hard X-ray luminosity of this region. Thus HMXBs may be responsible for at least part of this hard emission.

Another possible source of extended hard X-rays in a starburst galaxy is inverse Compton radiation caused by the interaction of infrared photons with supernova-generated relativistic electrons, as suggested for the starburst galaxies M 82 and NGC 3256 (Rieke et al. 1980; Schaaf et al. 1989; Moran & Lehnert 1997; Moran, Lehnert, & Helfand 1999). In this scenario, the hard X-ray photon index  $\Gamma$  should be equal to  $\alpha + 1$ , where  $\alpha$  is the radio spectral index ( $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$ ). At high spatial resolution, the radio continuum emission in the core of NGC 7714 is extended over  $\sim 6''$ , with a 5 GHz flux density of  $\approx 17 \text{ mJy}$  and a 5 GHz/1.4 GHz spectral index of  $\alpha \approx 0.6$  (Weedman et al. 1981). This is consistent with the Chandra photon index of  $\Gamma = 1.8 \pm 0.2$  for the hard component of the extended emission. An exact calculation of the expected X-ray flux from the inverse Compton process depends upon the strength and geometry of the electron, photon, and magnetic fields, which are highly uncertain. An approximate estimate can be made from the radio continuum data, scaling from M 82 and NGC 3256. For both M 82 and NGC 3256, the ratio of the 5 keV flux density to the core 5 GHz flux density  $R_{5,5} \approx 10^{-18} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ keV}^{-1} \mu\text{Jy}^{-1}$  (Moran, Lehnert, & Helfand 1999). For NGC 7714, the 5 keV flux density for the extended emission is  $\approx 1.4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ keV}^{-1}$ , giving a consistent value of  $R_{5,5} = 0.8 \times 10^{-18} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ keV}^{-1} \mu\text{Jy}^{-1}$ . Thus it is possible that inverse Compton may contribute in NGC 7714 as well.

## 4.5. Extended X-ray Emission from H II Region Complexes

### 4.5.1. Contributions from HMXBs

We have detected extended X-ray emission from four extra-nuclear H II region complexes in NGC 7714. In Table 3, we compare the 0.3 – 8 keV X-ray luminosities for these HII region complexes with the number of Lyman continuum photons  $N_{LyC}$  and  $H\alpha$  luminosities, after correction for an average extinction of  $A_V = 0.7$  (González-Delgado et al. 1995). As in the nuclear region, the two most likely contributors to the extended X-ray emission from these regions are HMXBs and hot gas produced by stellar winds and supernovae impacting the ambient interstellar medium. The Local Group  $N_{LyC}/L_X(\text{HMXB})$  ratios are  $\approx 3 - 60 \times 10^{13}$  (Helfand & Moran 2001), thus, except for region D, the X-ray luminosities for these HII regions are larger than expected for HMXBs. This indicates either other contributions to the X-ray flux or an  $L_X(\text{HMXB})/N_{LyC}$  ratio higher than the galaxian averages tabulated in Helfand & Moran (2001).

### 4.5.2. Contributions from Wind-Heated Gas

To estimate the contributions to the extended X-ray emission of these HII regions from wind-heated gas, we use theoretical models of the mechanical luminosity from supernovae and stellar winds in star clusters from the **Starburst99**<sup>5</sup> spectral synthesis software, version 4.0 (Leitherer et al. 1999). This version includes improvements to supernova-related quantities by M. Cervino and updated W-R and O star model atmospheres by Smith, Norris, & Crowther (2002). To determine the X-ray luminosity, we need to assume an X-ray production factor  $L_X/L_{mech}$ , the fraction of the mechanical energy of the starburst that is converted into X-rays. Estimates of  $L_X/L_{mech}$  in the literature vary widely, from 5% (Strickland & Stevens 1999) to 0.2% (Strickland et al. 2004b) to 0.02% (Dorland & Montmerle 1987). We discuss observational limits on  $L_X/L_{mech}$  further in Section 4.5.3.

In Figure 12, we plot the expected extinction-corrected  $N_{LyC}/L_X$  and  $L_{H\alpha}/L_X$  ratios from **Starburst99** for HII regions as a function of star

<sup>5</sup> Available at <http://www.stsci.edu/science/starburst99>.

cluster age, including only contributions to the X-ray flux from mechanical energy input from stellar winds and supernovae, excluding point sources. We plot the expected theoretical values for eight different models in Figure 12. We include models with continuous star formation as well as models with an instantaneous burst of star formation. We include models with a Salpeter initial mass function (power law index  $\alpha = 2.35$ ) as well as models with  $\alpha = 3.3$ . We have also varied the metallicity from solar metallicity to 0.25 solar and  $L_X/L_{mech}$  from 5% to 0.02%. Since supernova do not occur until a burst is  $\approx 3.6$  Myr old, the model X-ray luminosity before 3.6 Myr is due solely to stellar winds. After 6 Myr, the mechanical energy from supernova strongly dominates the production of X-rays, and between 3.6 and 6 Myr, both winds and supernovae contribute.

The NGC 7714 HII regions have  $N_{LyC}/L_X$  and  $L_{H\alpha}/L_X$  ratios consistent with the **Starburst99** models if the ages are between 3.8 – 7 Myrs (instantaneous burst) or  $\geq 5$  Myrs (if the star formation has been continuous) and if the X-ray production efficiency  $L_X/L_{mech} \approx 5\%$ . These age estimates are consistent with those determined independently by García-Vargas et al. (1997) using optical emission line strengths, photoionization modeling, and evolutionary synthesis models. For HII regions A, B, and C, García-Vargas et al. (1997) found ages of  $5.0 \pm 0.5$  Myr,  $3.5 \pm 0.5$  Myr, and  $4.5 \pm 0.5$  Myr and metallicities of 0.4, 0.2, and 0.2 solar, respectively, assuming a Salpeter IMF. Using the García-Vargas et al. (1997) ages, we have included points for these HII regions in Figure 12. This consistency with the **Starburst99** predictions suggests that mechanical energy from supernovae does contribute significantly to the X-ray flux from these HII regions, if the X-ray production efficiency is high. We discuss  $L_X/L_{mech}$  further in Section 4.5.3.

The low  $L_X/L_{mech}$  ( $\approx 0.02\%$ ) instantaneous burst models also give  $L_X/L_{H\alpha}$  ratios consistent with those observed, but only if the age is  $\approx 15$  Myrs, much larger than the García-Vargas et al. (1997) estimates. This implies, however, that when the HII regions were younger, their  $L_{H\alpha}$  would have been unreasonably large ( $L_{H\alpha} \approx 10^{43}$  erg s $^{-1}$  at 5 Myrs). Thus if the X-ray production efficiency is low, supernovae heating is likely not the dominant source of X-ray production in these

HII regions.

For completeness, in Table 3 we also include the extinction-corrected  $N_{LyC}/L_X$  and  $L_{H\alpha}/L_X$  ratios for the nucleus. These values are a factor of 3 – 36 times lower than those of the HII regions. In Figure 12, we have plotted the location of the NGC 7714 nucleus, using the starburst age of 5 Myr (González-Delgado et al. 1999; Lançon et al. 2001). As noted in Section 4.2 and discussed by Lançon et al. (2001),  $N_{LyC}/L_X$  for the nucleus is approximately a factor of 10 too low compared to that expected for a 5 Myr starburst (assuming a 5% X-ray production efficiency). This supports the idea that either there is an intermediate-age population present which boosts the supernova rate, or there are X-ray-bright point source(s) contributing to the X-ray flux.

Table 3 and Figures 5 and 6 show that HII region E has an  $N_{LyC}/L_X$  ratio a factor of 10 lower than that of the other NGC 7714 extra-nuclear HII regions. It is unclear at present if this difference is caused by a difference in age or in extinction, since no direct estimate of the age or extinction of region E is available at present.

#### 4.5.3. Comparison to Other HII Regions and the X-Ray Production Factor $L_X/L_{mech}$

At the present time,  $L_X/L_{mech}$  is not well-determined theoretically. Standard models of HII regions and superbubbles predict too many X-rays in young HII regions with stellar wind-driven bubbles (Rauw et al. 2002; Dunne et al. 2003; Townsley et al. 2003, 2004) and too few in older supernovae-driven bubbles (e.g., Chu & MacLow 1990; Wang & Helfand 1991; Oey 1996). In this section, we provide observational constraints on  $L_X/L_{mech}$  by compiling X-ray luminosities for some well-studied Galactic and extragalactic HII regions and ‘superbubbles’ from the literature (Table 5) and comparing to **Starburst99** models and other estimates of  $L_{mech}$ . In Table 5, we also include published estimates of the number of Lyman continuum photons,  $N_{LyC}$ , and extinction-corrected  $H\alpha$  luminosities, based on radio, infrared, and/or optical observations. When available, Table 5 also contains information about the age of the associated star cluster from color-magnitude diagrams (note that in several cases more than one cluster with different ages is present in a single HII region complex). In Figure 12,

we have plotted the HII regions in Table 5 that have estimated cluster ages with the **Starburst99** models.

Although there are large uncertainties on the values in this Table because of the extinction corrections, the different X-ray energy ranges used, and assumptions made about the X-ray spectrum, a general trend is apparent in Table 5 and Figure 12. The very young HII regions ( $<3.6$  Myrs; with no supernovae activity) have very high  $N_{LyC}/L_X$  and  $L_{H\alpha}/L_X$  ratios compared to the older star formation regions. For these young HII regions, the  $N_{LyC}/L_X$  and  $L_{H\alpha}/L_X$  ratios are not consistent with the **Starburst99** predictions unless the X-ray production efficiency  $L_X/L_{mech}$  is very low. Comparing the  $L_X$  values for the  $<3.5$  Myr old HII regions in Table 5 with solar metallicity Salpeter IMF **Starburst99** models gives X-ray production efficiencies  $L_X/L_{mech}$  that range from  $7 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $4 \times 10^{-3}$ , with a median of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  (0.02%). For some of the young HII regions in Table 5, it is also possible to calculate  $L_{mech}$  more directly, by summing over the mass loss rates  $\dot{M}$  and the terminal wind velocities  $V$  of the individual stars in the cluster:  $L_{mech} = \sum \frac{1}{2} \dot{M}_i V_i^2$ . Utilizing mass loss rates and terminal velocities from Stevens & Hartwell (2003) for the HII regions in our sample, we obtained a similar median  $L_X/L_{mech}$  of  $10^{-4}$  (0.01%).

The very weak diffuse X-ray emission in young HII regions has been noted before (Rauw et al. 2002; Dunne et al. 2003; Townsley et al. 2003, 2004), and is not well understood. Some suggested explanations for the low efficiency of forming X-rays in wind-driven bubbles include the escape of hot gas through blowouts and fissures in the interstellar medium, suppression of heat conduction by strong magnetic fields, the entrainment of cold material in the wind, and the dissipation of energy by turbulence.

On average, the older star formation regions in Table 5 have higher X-ray production efficiencies than the younger ones. Comparison with the **Starburst99** instantaneous burst 0.25 solar metallicity Salpeter models for the  $>3.5$  Myr-old LMC superbubbles in Table 5 gives a median  $L_X/L_{mech}$  of  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  (0.2%), with a large scatter (0.01 – 5%). Thus a larger fraction of the total wind energy gets converted into X-rays in bubbles powered by supernovae than in stellar wind-

driven bubbles. With a continuous burst, the median  $L_X/L_{mech}$  is even higher, 7%, with a range of 0.1 – 36%. For three of the superbubbles in Table 5, Oey (1996) has calculated the mechanical luminosity directly by adding up contributions from the individual stars in the corresponding OB associations, and accounting for stars that have already become supernovae. Comparing her  $L_{mech}$  values with the X-ray luminosities for the affiliated superbubbles, we find  $L_X/L_{mech} \approx 0.02 - 0.05$  (2 – 5%), higher on average than the **Starburst99** results but overlapping in range.

Given the scatter in the empirically-determined X-ray production factors, it is possible that supernovae-driven winds are responsible for the observed X-rays in the NGC 7714 HII regions. However, it is clear from Figure 12 that the NGC 7714 HII regions have an X-ray excess compared to LMC superbubbles with similar ages. Thus if hot gas is responsible for the extended X-ray emission in the NGC 7714 HII regions, the X-ray production efficiency must be higher on average than in the tabulated LMC regions. Given the uncertainty in  $L_X/L_{mech}$ , whether hot supernovae-heated gas or HMXBs are responsible for the extended X-ray emission from these HII regions is still an open question. Further investigations are needed to better determine  $L_X/L_{mech}$  for wind-driven X-ray production.

#### 4.5.4. *Mimicking of ULXs in High Redshift Galaxies*

In galaxies more distant than NGC 7714, HII region complexes similar to those in NGC 7714 may be unresolved with *Chandra*, mimicking ULXs. For example, in the Cartwheel galaxy, which is three times the distance of NGC 7714, more than a dozen unresolved ( $\leq 0.3 - 1.5$  kpc) X-ray sources are detected in the star forming ring, with luminosities in the ULX range (Gao et al. 2003; Wolter & Trinchieri 2004). The sizes and X-ray luminosities of these sources are consistent with the HII regions seen in NGC 7714, while  $H\alpha$  luminosities from J. Higdon (2004, private communication) give  $L_{H\alpha}/L_X$  ratios of  $\sim 0.3 - 24$ , similar to those of the HII regions in NGC 7714. Thus at least some of these Cartwheel sources may be similar to the NGC 7714 HII regions, and may be resolved at higher spatial resolution. Most of the ULXs found so far, however, are in closer

galaxies, and thus are clearly a different class, or classes, of objects.

#### 4.6. The Candidate Ultraluminous X-ray Sources

The luminosity functions of ULXs in galaxies appear to scale with star formation rate (Grimm, Gilfanov, & Sunyaev 2003; Humphrey et al. 2003; Schwarz et al. 2004), or perhaps with a combination of star formation rate and galaxy mass. Furthermore, ULX candidates tend to be positionally coincident with star formation regions, for example, most of the ULXs in the interacting galaxy M51 are associated with spiral arms (Terashima & Wilson 2004). This correlation with star formation has been used to argue for the beamed model of these sources (King et al. 2001) or alternatively, for an intermediate mass black hole scenario where accretion from molecular clouds is occurring (e.g., Krolik 2004).

In NGC 7714/5, only two of the candidate ULX sources in Table 1 are associated with on-going star formation, #8 and #9. Interestingly, of the 11 ULX candidates, eight appear to be in or near tidal features or the ring (#1, #4, #5, #8, #9, #10, #11, and #12) and two are near the ends of the NGC 7714 bar (#6 and #7), which may also have been produced by the interaction. One of the remaining sources, #2, lies near the center of NGC 7715, which is believed to be in a post-starburst state with a stellar population age of  $\sim 45$  Myr (Bernlöhr 1993). This lack of association with star formation regions does not rule out the beamed stellar mass black hole scenario, however. In the high mass X-ray binary population synthesis models of Rappaport, Podsiadlowski, & Pfahl (2004), the ULX population is largest 5 – 100 Myrs after a burst of star formation. In their scenario, a larger ULX population is expected in post-starburst regions (or regions with on-going star formation) than in very young bursts. In NGC 7714, it is possible that the tails and rings are post-starburst, since starbursts can be triggered in such features (e.g., Schombert, Wallin, & Struck-Marcell 1990). Near-infrared colors suggest that the ring may have older stellar population (Bushouse & Werner 1990), but at the present time no information about population ages in the NGC 7714 tails is available. The closest approach between the two galaxies occurred  $\approx 170$  Myrs ago

(Struck & Smith 2003), thus it is possible that the formation of the ULXs in the tidal features, the ring, and the bar was triggered by the interaction.

The most luminous point source in the *Chandra* map, #4, near the NGC 7714 ring, was previously detected in two separate XMM-Newton observations on June 2002 and December 2002 (Soria & Motch 2004). The XMM-Newton luminosity increased by a factor of two between these two observations, with a 0.3 – 12 keV luminosity of  $6.6 \times 10^{40}$  erg s $^{-1}$  in December 2002. In the high-luminosity state, the XMM-Newton spectrum can be well-fit by a single  $\Gamma = 2.1 \pm_{0.1}^{0.2}$  power law. In the low-luminosity state, in addition to a  $\Gamma = 2.6 \pm_{0.7}^{0.4}$  power law, a second component was required. This second component could be represented by a 1 keV blackbody. The *Chandra* luminosity,  $L(0.3 - 8 \text{ keV}) = 6.4 \times 10^{40}$  erg s $^{-1}$ , extrapolates to  $L(0.3 - 12 \text{ keV}) = 8.0 \times 10^{40}$  erg s $^{-1}$ , which is similar to that in the XMM-Newton high-luminosity state, and the power law index,  $\Gamma = 1.9 \pm_{0.0}^{0.1}$ , is consistent within the uncertainties. Thus the *Chandra* observations were also made during a high-luminosity state. The fact that this source is point-like in the *Chandra* data and variable in XMM-Newton rules out the possibility that the X-rays are arising from hot gas heated by the impact of bridge material or nuclear outflow, as suggested by Papaderos & Fricke (1998) based on low spatial resolution data from ROSAT.

As noted earlier, source #12 has undergone a factor of 10 increase compared to previous XMM observations. This argues for a single point-like source, although does not distinguish between a low mass or supermassive black hole. If the 19 second flare is real, however, this constrains the system mass to  $\lesssim 4 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$ .

The *Chandra* column density for source #4,  $1.9 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{21}$  cm $^{-2}$ , is consistent with that found from XMM-Newton and with the HI column density from Smith, Struck, & Pogge (1997). In contrast, for source #12, which is near the edge of the outer tail, the low column density is consistent with the Galactic foreground.

## 5. Summary

We have obtained *Chandra* X-ray images of the prototypical nuclear starburst galaxy NGC 7714 and its companion NGC 7715. Our primary re-

sults are:

1. The 0.3 – 8 keV luminosity of the inner 150 pc radius nuclear region of NGC 7714 is  $\approx 4 \times 10^{40}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>. This is high compared to the number of Lyman continuum photons, suggesting that it is due to an enhanced population of HMXBs, one or more ULXs, an obscured AGN, or hot gas efficiently heated by supernovae produced by an intermediate-age stellar population. The possible variability in the *Chandra* data suggests that a single source contributes significantly to the observed X-ray flux, however, the timescale of variation and the lack of Fe-K line emission argue against an AGN.

2. A second luminous ( $L_X \approx 10^{40}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>) point source is detected 1''.5 (270 pc) northwest of the nucleus, with an apparent optical counterpart on HST images. The optical magnitude of this source ( $M_V \approx -14.1$ ) and its blue optical colors ( $B - V \approx -0.1$ ) are consistent with it being a very young (<4 Myr) globular cluster. This source is much more optically-luminous than most optical counterparts to ULXs found to date, however, it has similar optical colors. This suggests that it may be a mass-transfer X-ray binary with a young massive stellar companion, which resides in a young star cluster. The observed *Chandra* variability is consistent with this suggestion.

3. Diffuse emission extending 11'' (1.9 kpc) to the north of the nucleus is visible in the *Chandra* images. The X-ray spectrum can be fit by two Mekeal functions, with temperatures of  $0.59 \pm_{0.06}^{0.05}$  keV and  $8 \pm_{3}^{10}$  keV, or with a 0.6 keV Mekeal function plus a  $\Gamma = 1.9 \pm_{0.0}^{0.3}$  power law. The hard component contributes  $\sim 2/3$  of the 0.3 – 8 keV luminosity. Much of this hard component may be due to HMXBs, however, inverse Compton radiation cannot be ruled out. The soft component is probably caused by a superwind powered by the nuclear starburst. The superbubble expansion timescale is  $\sim 15$  Myr, consistent with the age of the intermediate-age stellar population suggested by Lançon et al. (2001). There is some evidence for an enhanced silicon abundance, especially in the innermost regions. The presence of an abundance gradient is less clear.

4. We have detected extended X-ray emission from four extra-nuclear HII region complexes. The high X-ray luminosities relative to the extinction-corrected H $\alpha$  luminosities imply that either an en-

hanced population of HMXBs or shock heating from supernovae with a high X-ray production efficiency ( $\approx 5\%$ ) is responsible for the emission. The high X-ray fluxes of these star formation regions imply that they are at least 3.5 Myr old, so that supernovae and HMXBs have started to occur. These ages are consistent with previous estimates based on optical spectroscopy and photoionization modeling.

5. To better determine the X-ray production efficiency, we have compiled data on well-studied star formation regions in nearby galaxies. The median X-ray production efficiency is 0.02% for young (<3.5 Myrs) HII regions and 0.2 – 7% for older star formation regions. Thus it is possible that the extended emission in the NGC 7714 HII regions is due to hot gas, however, this is uncertain.

6. In addition to the luminous X-ray source near the nucleus, 11 other X-ray point sources are visible in the vicinity of NGC 7714/5. Ten of these appear to be associated with features likely produced in the interaction, but only two are in star formation regions. One of these sources shows evidence of short timescale (19 second) variability.

7. No X-ray emission was detected from SN 1999dn or the possible supernova noted by Mattila et al. (2002), with upper limits to the 0.3 – 8 keV luminosities of  $\approx 2 \times 10^{38}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup>.

We thank the *Chandra* team for making this research possible. We are grateful to J. M. Houchins for computer support, and Mark Giroux, Roberto Soria, Bob Benjamin, Henry Kobulnicky, and an anonymous referee for helpful suggestions. This research was supported by NASA *Chandra* grant G04-5103A, NSF grant AST-0097616, and NASA grant SV3-73016 (MAN). This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

## REFERENCES

- Arnett, D. 1995, ARAA, 33, 115
- Arp, H. 1966, Atlas of Peculiar Galaxies (Pasadena: Caltech)

- Begelman, M. C. 2002, *ApJ*, 568, 97
- Belloni, M., Mendez, M., King, A. R., van der Klis, M., & van Paradijs, J. 1997, *ApJ*, 488, L109
- Bernlöhr, K. 1993, *A&A*, 268, 25
- Bloemen, J. B. G. M., et al. 1986, *A&A*, 154, 25
- Bohlin, R. C., Savage, B. D., & Drake, J. F. 1978, *ApJ*, 224, 132
- Böker, T., Sarzi, M., McLaughlin, D. E., van der Marel, R. P., Rix, H.-W., Ho, L. C., & Shields, J. C. 2004, *AJ*, 127, 105
- Bosch, G., Terlevich, E., & Terlevich, R. 2002, *MNRAS*, 329, 481
- Bouret, J.-C., Lanz, T., Hillier, D. J., Heap, S. R., Hubeny, I., Lennon, D. J., Smith, L. J., & Evans, C. J. 2003, *ApJ*, 595, 1182
- Brandl, B. R., et al. 1996, *ApJ*, 466, 254
- Brandl, B. R., et al. 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 188
- Brandt, W. N. 2001, *ApJ*, 122, 2810
- Burnstein, D. & Heiles, C. 1982, *AJ*, 87, 1165
- Bushouse, H. A. & Werner, M. W. 1990, *ApJ*, 359, 72
- Calzetti, D., Kinney, A. L., & Storchi-Bergmann, T. 1996, *ApJ*, 458, 132
- Canizares, C. R., Fabbiano, G., & Trinchieri, G. 1987, *ApJ*, 312, 503
- Carraro, G. & Munan, U. 2004, *MNRAS*, 347, 625
- Carraro, G., Romaniello, M., Ventura, P., & Patat, F. 2004, *A&A*, 418, 525
- Chen, F., Danese, L., De Zotti, G., & Franceschini, A. 1985, *MNRAS*, 212, 857
- Chu, Y.-H., & Mac Low, M.-M. 1990, *ApJ*, 365, 510
- Ciotti, L., D’Ercole, A., Pellegrini, S., & Renzini, A. 1991, *ApJ*, 376, 380
- Colbert, E., & Mushotzky, R. 1999, *ApJ*, 517, 89
- Collura, A., Reale, F., Schulman, E., & Bregman, J. N. 1994, *ApJ*, 420, L63
- Condon, J. J., Helou, G., Sanders, D. B., & Soifer, B. T. 1990, 73, 359
- Cowie, L. L., McKee, C. F., & Ostriker, J. P. 1981, *ApJ*, 247, 908
- David, L. P., Jones, C., & Forman, W. 1992, *ApJ*, 388, 82
- Davis, J. E. 2001, *ApJ*, 562, 575
- den Boggende, A. J. F., Mewe, R., Gronenschild, E. H. B. M., Heise, J., & Grindlay, J. E. 1978, *A&A*, 62, 1
- Deng, J. S., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 540, 542
- Dorland, H. & Montmerle, T. 1987, *A&A*, 177, 243
- Dunne, B. C., Chu, Y.-H., Chen, C.-H. R., Lowry, J. D., Townsley, L., Gruendl, R. A., Guerrero, M. A., & Rosado, M. 2003, *ApJ*, 590, 306
- Dunne, B. C., Points, S. D., & Chu, Y.-H. 2001, *ApJS*, 136, 119
- Dyson, J. E., & Williams, D. A. 1980, *Physics of the Interstellar Medium* (Manchester: Manchester Univ. Press).
- Elmegreen, D. M., Kaufman, M., Elmegreen, B. G., Brinks, E., Struck, C., Klaric, M., & Thomasson, M. 2001, *AJ*, 121, 182
- Fabbiano, G. 1989, *ARAA*, 27, 87
- Fabbiano, G., Zezas, A., & Murray, S. S. 2001, *ApJ*, 554, 1035
- Fabbiano, G., Krauss, M., Zezas, A., & Rots, A. 2003, *ApJ*, 598, 272
- Fabbiano, G., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, 605, L21
- Felli, M., Churchwell, E., & Massi, M. 1984, *A&A*, 136, 53
- Gao, Y., Wang, Q. D., Appleton, P. N., & Lucas, R. A. 2003, *ApJ*, 596, L171
- García-Vargas, M. L., González-Delgado, R. M., Pérez, E., Alloin, D., Díaz, A., & Terlevich, E. 1997, *ApJ*, 478, 112
- González-Delgado, R. M., et al. 1995, *ApJ*, 439, 604



- González-Delgado, R. M., et al. 1999, *ApJ*, 513, 707
- Granato, G. L., Zitelli, V., Bonoli, F., Danese, L., Bonoli, C., & Delpino, F. 1993, *ApJS*, 89, 35
- Grimm, H.-J., Gilfanov, M., & Sunyaev, R. 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 793
- Griffith, R. E., Ptak, A., Feigelson, E. D., Garmire, G., Townsley, L., Brandt, W. N., & Sambruna, R., & Bregman, J. N. 2000, *Science*, 290, 1325
- Guerrero Roncel, M. A., & Chu, Y.-H. 2003, 'From Main Sequence to Supernova', *IAU Symposium #212*, 718
- Hanson, M. M., Howarth, I. D., & Conti, P. S. 1997, *ApJ*, 489, 698
- Hartwell, J. M., Stevens, I. R., Strickland, D. K., Heckman, T. M., & Summers, L. K. 2004, *MNRAS*, 348, 406
- Helfand, D. J., Moran, E. C. 2001, *ApJ*, 554, 27
- Holtzman, J. A., Burrows, C. J., Casertano, S., Hester, J. J., Trauger, J. T., Watson, A. M., & Worthey, G. 1995a, *PASP*, 107, 1065
- Holtzman, J. A., et al. 1992, *AJ*, 103, 691
- Holtzman, J., et al. 1995b, *PASP*, 107, 156
- Holtzman, J. A., et al. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 416
- Houck, J. C., & Denicola, L. A. 2000, in *ASP Conf. Ser. 216, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and system IX*, ed. N. Manset, C. Veillet, & D. Crabtree (San Francisco: ASP), 591
- Huchra, J. P. 1977, *ApJS*, 35, 171
- Humphrey, P. J., Fabbiano, G., Elvis, M., Church, M. J., & Baluchńska-Church, M. 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 134
- Immler, S. & Lewin, W. H. G. 2003, in *Supernovae and Gamma-Ray Bursters, Lecture Notes in Physics*, ed. K. Weiler, 598, 91
- Immler, S., Vogler, A., Ehle, M., & Pietsch, W. 1999, *A&A*, 352, 415
- Immler, S., Wang, Q. D., Leonard, D. C., & Schlegel, E. M. 2003, *ApJ*, 595, 727
- Irwin, J. A., Sarazin, C. L. & Bregman, J. N. 2002, *ApJ*, 570, 152
- Ishizuki, S. 1993, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Tokyo.
- Keel, W. C., Holberg, J. B., & Treuthardt, P. M. 2004, submitted to *AJ*.
- Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1984, *ApJ*, 287
- King, A. R., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 552, 409
- Koornneef, J. 1983, *A&A*, 128, 84
- Körding, E., Falcke, H., & Markoff, S. 2002, *A&A*, 382, L13
- Kotilainen, J. K., Reunanen, J., Laine, S., & Ryder, S. D. 2001, *A&A*, 366, 439
- Kuntz, K. D., Snowden, S. L., Pence, W. D., & Mukai, K. 2003, *ApJ*, 588, 264
- Krolik, J. H. 2004, *ApJ*, in press (astro-ph/0407285).
- Lançon, A., et al. 2001, *ApJ*, 552, 150
- Leitherer, C., et al. 1999, *ApJS*, 123, 3
- Liu, J.-F., Bregman, J. N., & Seitzer, P. 2002, *ApJ*, 580, L31
- Liu, J.-F., Bregman, J. N., & Seitzer, P. 2004, *ApJ*, 602, 249
- Lira, P., et al. 2002, *MNRAS*, 330, 259
- Maccacaro, T., Gioia, I., Wolter, A., Zamorani, G., & Stocke, J. 1988, *ApJ*, 326, 680
- MacLow, M.-M., Chang, T. H., Chu, Y.-H., Points, S. D., Smith, R. C., & Wakker, B. P. 1998, *ApJ*, 493, 260
- Martin, C., Kobulnicky, H., & Heckman, T. 2002, *ApJ*, 574, 663
- Martin, P., Roy, J.-R. 1992, *ApJ*, 397, 463
- Matsumoto, H., & Tsuru, T. G. 1999, *PASJ*, 51, 321
- Mattila, S., Meikle, P., Walton, N., Greimel, R., Ryder, S., & Alard, C. 2002, *IAUC*, 7865

- McCradly, N., Gilbert, A. M., & Graham, J. R. 2003, *ApJ*, 596, 240
- Melnick, J. 1985, *A&A*, 153, 235
- Moffatt, A. F. J., et al. 2002, *ApJ*, 573, 191
- Moran, E. C., & Lehnert, M. D. 1997, *ApJ*, 478, 172
- Moran, E. C., Lehnert, M. D., & Helfand, D. J. 1999, *ApJ*, 526, 649
- Norchi, L. & Oegelman, H. 1995, *A&A*, 302, 879
- O'Dell, C. R. 2003, *BAAS*, 202, 01.01.
- Oey, M. S., & Massey, P. 1995, *ApJ*, 452, 210
- Oey, M. S. 1996, *ApJ*, 467, 666
- Oey, M. S., & Kennicutt, R. C. 1997, *MNRAS*, 291, 827
- Okumura, S., Mori, A., Nishihara, E., Watanabe, E., & Yamashita, T. 2000, *ApJ*, 543, 7990
- O'Sullivan, E., & Ponman, T. J. 2004, *MNRAS*, 349, 535
- Papaderos, P., & Fricke, K. J. 1998, *A&A*, 338, 31
- Park, B.-G., & Sung, H. 2002, *AJ*, 123, 892
- Parker, J. W., Garmany, C. D., Massey, P., & Walborn, N. R. 1992, *AJ*, 103, 1205
- Phillips, A. C., Illingworth, G. D., MacKenty, J. W., & Franx, M. 1996, *AJ*, 111, 1566
- Piatti, A. E., Bica, E., & Claria, J. J. 1998, *A&AS*, 127, 423
- Pooley, D. 2004, *HEAD*, 8, 1816
- Pooley, D. & Lewin, W. H. G. 2004, *IAUC*, 8323, 2
- Portegies Zwart, S. F., Baumgardt, H., Hut, P., Makino, J., & McMillian, S. L. W. 2004, *Nature*, 428, 724
- Prestwich, A. H., Irwin, J. A., Kilgard, R. E., Krauss, M. I., Zezas, A., Primini, F., Kaaret, P., & Boroson, B. 2003, *ApJ*, 595, 719
- Ptak, A., Heckman, T., Levenson, N. A., Weaver, K., & Strickland, D. 2003, *ApJ*, 592, 782
- Puxley, P. J., & Brand, P. W. J. L. 1994, *MNRAS*, 266, 431
- Ranalli, P., Comastri, A., & Setti, G. 2003, *A&A*, 399, 39
- Rappaport, S. A., Podsiadlowski, P., & Pfahl, E. 2004, *MNRAS*, in press (astro-ph/0408032)
- Rasmussen, J., Stevens, I. R., & Ponman, T. J. 2004, *MNRAS*, in press (astro-ph/0407284).
- Rauw, G., Nazé, Y., Gosset, E., Stevens, I. R., Blomme, R., Corcoran, M. F., Pittard, J. M., & Runacres, M. C. 2002, *A&A*, 395, 499
- Read, A. M. 2003, *MNRAS*, 342, 715
- Read, A. M., & Ponman, T. J. 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 127
- Relaño, M., Peimbert, M., & Beckman, J. 2002, *ApJ*, 564, 704
- Rieke, G. H., Lebofsky, M. J., Thompson, R. I., Low, F. J., & Tokunaga, A. T. 1980, *ApJ*, 238, 24
- Roberts, T. P., et al. 2002, *MNRAS*, 337, 677
- Rosati, P. 2002, *ApJ*, 566, 667
- Sarazin, C. L., Irwin, J. A., & Bregman, J. N. 2001, *ApJ*, 556, 533
- Schaaf, R., Pietsch, W., Biermann, P. L., Kronberg, P. P., & Schmutzler, T. 1989, *ApJ*, 336, 722
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525
- Schombert, J. M., Wallin, J. F., & Struck-Marcell, C. 1990, *AJ*, 99, 497
- Schwarz, D. A., Ghosh, K. K., Tennant, A. F., & Wu, K. 2004, *ApJS*, in press (astro-ph/0405498).
- Sciortino, S., Vaiana, G. S., Harnden, F. F., Jr., Ramella, M., Morossi, C., Rosner, R., & Schmitt, J. H. M. M. 1990, *ApJ*, 361, 621
- Seward, F. D., Forman, W. R., Giacconi, R., Griffiths, R. E., Harnden, F. R., Jr., Jones, C., & Pye, J. P. 1979, *ApJ*, 234, L55

- Shull, J. M. 1995, in ASP Conf. Ser. 73, Airborne Astronomy Symp. on the Galactic Ecosystem, ed. M.R. Haas, J. A. Davidson, & E. F. Erickson (San Francisco: ASP), 365
- Sivakoff, G. R., Sarazin, C. L., & Irwin, J. A. 2003, ApJ, 599, 218
- Skillman, E. D., & Israel, F. P. 1988, A&A, 203, 226
- Smith, L. F., Biermann, P., & Mezger, P. G. 1978, A&A, 66, 65
- Smith, B. J., Nowak, M., Donahue, M., and Stocke, J. 2003, AJ, 126, 1763
- Smith, B. J., Struck, C., & Pogge, R. 1997, ApJ, 483, 754
- Smith, B. J., & Struck, C. 2001, AJ, 121, 710
- Smith, B. J., & Wallin, J. F. 1992, ApJ, 393, 544
- Smith, R., Norris, R., & Crowther, P. 2002, MNRAS, 337, 1309
- Soria, R., & Motch, C. 2004, A&A, 422, 915
- Soria, R., & Wu, K. 2002, Proceedings of the 'New Visions of the X-ray Universe in the XMM-Newton and *Chandra* Era'.
- Stark, A., Gammie, C., Wilson, R., Bally, J., Linke, R., Heiles, C., & Hurwitz, M. 1992, ApJS, 79, 77
- Stevens, I. R., & Hartwell, J. M. 2003, MNRAS, 339, 280
- Stevens, I. R., & Strickland, D. K. 1998, MNRAS, 294, 523
- Stocke, J. T., Wurtz, R., & Kühr, H. 1991, AJ, 102, 1724
- Strickland, D. K. & Stevens, I. R. 1999, MNRAS, 306, 43
- Strickland, D. K., et al. 2000, AJ, 120, 2965
- Strickland, D. K., Heckman, T. M., Weaver, K. A., Hoopes, C. G., & Dahlem, M. 2002, ApJ, 568, 689
- Strickland, D. K., Heckman, T. M., Colbert, E. J. M., Hoopes, C. G., & Weaver, K. A. 2004a, ApJS, 151, 193
- Strickland, D. K., Heckman, T. M., Colbert, E. J. M., Hoopes, C. G., & Weaver, K. A. 2004b, ApJ, 606, 829
- Struck, C., & Smith, B. J. 2003, ApJ, 589, 157
- Sung, H., Chun, M.-Y., & Bessell, M. S. 2000, AJ, 120, 333
- Sung, H. & Bessell, M. S. 2004, AJ, 127, 1014
- Summers, L. K., Stevens, I. R., Strickland, D. K., & Heckman, T. M. 2003, MNRAS, 342, 690
- Summers, L. K., Stevens, I. R., Strickland, D. K., & Heckman, T. M. 2004, MNRAS, 351, 1
- Taniguchi, Y. et al. 2001, ApJ, 559, L9
- Tapia, M., Roth, M., Vázquez, R. A., & Feinstein, A. 2003, MNRAS, 339, 44
- Terashima, Y., & Wilson, A. S. 2004, ApJ, 601, 735
- Thim, F., Tammann, G. A., Saha, A., Dolphin, A., Sandage, A., Tolstoy, E., & Labhardt, L. 2003, ApJ, 590, 256
- Townsley, L., Feigelson, E. D., Montmerle, T., Broos, P. S., Chu, Y., & Garmire, G. P. 2003, ApJ, 593, 874
- Townsley, L., Feigelson, E., Montmerle, T., Broos, P., Chu, Y.-H., Garmire, G., & Getman, K. 2004, Proceedings of 'X-Ray and Radio Connections' Conference, in press
- Tschöke, D., Hensler, G., & Junkes, N. 2000, A&A, 360, 447
- Tyler, K., Quillen, A. C., LaPage, A., & Rieke, G. H. 2004, ApJ, 610, 213
- Walborn, N. R., & Parker, J. W. 1992, ApJ, 399, L87
- Wang, Q. & Helfand, D. J. 1991, ApJ, 370, 541
- Wang, Q. D., Immler, S., Walterbos, R., Lauroesch, J. T., & Breitschwerdt, D. 2001, ApJ, 555, L99
- Ward, M. J. 1988, MNRAS, 231, 1.
- Weedman, D. W., et al. 1981, ApJ, 248, 105

- Weisskopf, M. C., Brinkman, B., Canizares, C., Carmire, G., Murray, S., & Van Speybroeck, L. P. 2002, *PASP*, 114, 1
- Whitmore, B. C., Schweizer, F., Leitherer, C., Borne, K., & Robert, C. 1993, *AJ*, 106, 1354
- Whitmore, B. C. & Schweizer, F. 1995, *AJ*, 109, 960
- Whitmore, B. C., Zhang, Q., Leitherer, C., Fall, S. M., Schweizer, F., & Miller, B. W. 1999, *AJ*, 118, 1551
- Williams, R. M. & Chu, Y.-H. 1995, *ApJ*, 439, 132
- Williams, R. M., Chu, Y.-H., Dickel, J. R., Beyer, R., Petre, R., Smith, R. C., & Milne, D. K. 1997, *ApJ*, 480, 618
- Wolter, A., & Trinchieri, G. 2004, *A&A*, in press
- Wu, H., Xue, S. J., Xia, X. Y., Deng, Z. G., & Mao, S. 2002, *ApJ*, 576, 738
- Zepf, S. E., Ashman, K. M., English, J., Freeman, K. C., & Sharples, R. M. 1999, *AJ*, 118, 752
- Zezas, A., & Fabbiano, G. 2002, *ApJ*, 577, 726
- Zezas, A., Fabbiano, G., Rots, A. H., & Murray, S. S. 2002, *ApJ*, 577, 710
- Zezas, A., Ward, M. J., & Murray, S. S. 2003, *ApJ*, 594, L31

Fig. 1.— A  $4'.5 \times 2'.2$  optical photograph of NGC 7714/5 from Arp (1966). North is up and east is to the left. NGC 7714 is the larger galaxy to the west. Note the partial ring (1), the two western tails (2, 3), the northeastern tail of NGC 7714 (4), the bridge (5), and the eastern tail of NGC 7715 (6).

Fig. 2.— A 21 cm H I map of NGC 7714/5 (greyscale, from Smith, Struck, & Pogge 1997), with superposed optical contours from the smoothed Digitized Sky Survey image. Note the large H I loop to the west, coincident with the optical tail, as well as the offset between the gas and the stars in the bridge.

Fig. 3.— The smoothed *Chandra* maps of NGC 7714/5 in various energy ranges, with optical Digitized Sky Survey contours. Top: 0.3 - 8 keV, created using a weighted exposure map. Second from top: 0.3 - 1 keV, created using a monochromatic 0.8 keV exposure map. Third from top: 1 - 2.5 keV, created using a monochromatic 1.5 keV exposure map. Bottom: 2.5 - 8 keV, created using a monochromatic 3 keV exposure map.

Fig. 4.— The smoothed 0.3 - 8 keV *Chandra* map of NGC 7714/5 (greyscale), with H $\alpha$  contours from Smith et al. (1997) superimposed.

Fig. 5.— A zoomed-in view of the NGC 7714 disk, with an overlay of the *Chandra* 0.3 - 8 keV X-ray map as contours on the Smith et al. (1997) H $\alpha$  map (greyscale). Extended X-ray emission is seen associated with H II region complex A, B, C, and E, but not with region D, in spite of the fact that D is brighter in H $\alpha$  than E. Note that the ULX candidate to the southwest of the nucleus is offset from the middle of H II region C. Note also the diffuse X-ray emission extending  $11''$  to the north of the nucleus, with a possible faint H $\alpha$  counterpart.

Fig. 6.— An overlay of the *Chandra* 0.3 - 8 keV map (contours) on an archival HST F606W WFPC2 image of NGC 7714, after registering the HST map so that the brightest X-ray source is coincident with the brightest optical source. Note the X-ray counterparts to the H II regions to the northwest, northwest, and southeast of the nucleus. There are no obvious optical counterparts to the four candidate ULX sources in the field.

Fig. 7.— a) Left: A close-up view of the inner  $10''$  of the *Chandra* 0.3 - 8 keV map (both contours

and greyscale). Notice the second point source  $\approx 1.5''$  northwest of the nucleus. The extended source to the southeast is H II region complex A. b) Right: The *Chandra* contours for the inner  $10''$ , superimposed on the archival HST F606W image. Note the bright optical point source within 1 *Chandra* pixel of the secondary X-ray source.

Fig. 8.— The smoothed 0.3 - 8 keV *Chandra* map, with X-ray point sources marked (see Table 1). The contours are from the optical Digitized Sky Survey. These point sources were selected by the CIAO WAVDETECT routine. Note that sources 4 and 12 are very bright. Interestingly, source 12 was very faint in the 2002 XMM-Newton observations of Soria and Motch (2004), with a brightness comparable to the other point sources in the field. Its X-ray luminosity has increased by a factor of about 10 in the *Chandra* observations. Sources 8 and 9 are associated with the inner western tail (and H II regions; see Figure 4). Sources 10, 11, and 12 may be associated with the outer western tail. Source 1 appears associated with the western NGC 7715 tail, while source 2 appears to be in the disk of NGC 7715. Sources 3 and 13 are likely background sources. No X-ray counterpart to the Type Ib SN 1999dn was detected.

Fig. 9.— Background-subtracted *Chandra* spectra (datapoints), best fit spectral fits (solid lines), and residuals (dotted lines) for various regions in the NGC 7714/5 system. Top left: nuclear spectrum, with power-law fit; Top right: spectrum of secondary source near nucleus with power-law fit. Middle left: spectrum for the inner diffuse gas of NGC 7714, excluding the two nuclear sources, fit with two thermal plasmas. Middle right: spectrum for the outer diffuse gas of NGC 7714, excluding H II region A, fit with two thermal plasmas. Bottom left: ULX candidate #4, with a power law fit. Bottom right: ULX candidate #12, with a power law fit. See text and Table 2 for more details.

Fig. 10.— X-ray colors of H II regions A, B, C, and E and ULX candidates #1 - #13, with the soft band being defined as 0.3 - 1 keV, medium 1 - 2 keV, and hard 2 - 8 keV. The dotted lines show the locations of absorbed power laws with photon indices of 4, 3, 2, and 1, respectively, from left to right. The column density ranges from  $10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$

at the lower left end of these curves, to  $10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  at the far right.

Fig. 11.— Lightcurves (dotted lines) from the nuclear source (left, 1000 sec bins) and the secondary nuclear source (right, 2000 second bins). The results of a ‘Bayesian Blocks’ analysis (see text) have been over-plotted for both (solid lines). Assuming a prior probability for the number of blocks,  $N_b$ , is  $\propto \gamma^{N_b}$ , the nucleus lightcurve is divided into two blocks for  $1 - \gamma < 0.995$ , with count rates  $(2.72 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-2}$  cps and  $(2.31 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-2}$  cps. The secondary source lightcurve is divided into two blocks for  $\gamma > 4 \times 10^{-7}$ , with count rates  $(8.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$  cps and  $(1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$  cps.

Fig. 12.— Six model extinction-corrected  $N_{LyC}/L_X$  and  $L_{H\alpha}/L_X$  ratios for star formation regions as a function of time, from the **Starburst99** Version 4.0 stellar population synthesis code (Leitherer et al. 1999). As identified by the labels in the upper right, the models differ in their initial mass functions (power law index  $\alpha = 2.35$  and 3.3), metallicity (solar vs. 0.25 solar), X-ray production efficiency  $L_X/L_{mech}$  (5% vs. 0.02%) and whether they assume an instantaneous burst or continuous star formation. Upper and lower mass limits for the IMF of  $100 M_\odot$  and  $1 M_\odot$ , respectively, are assumed for all models. The filled square datapoints are measurements from the literature for Galactic, LMC, and SMC HII regions and superbubbles (Table 5). The open circles are values for NGC 7714 HII regions A, B, and C, using ages from García-Vargas et al. (1997), which were determined using  $\alpha = 2.35$  and metallicities of 0.4, 0.2, and 0.2 solar, respectively. The location of the NGC 7714 nucleus is also marked (open square), assuming a 5 Myr burst.

TABLE 1  
CANDIDATE ULXS IN THE NGC 7714/5 FIELD

| Source | Chandra Name<br>(J2000 coordinates) | Net<br>Counts<br>(0.3 – 8 keV) | $L_X$<br>(0.3 – 8 keV)<br>( $10^{38}$ erg/s) | Apparent Location           |
|--------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| #1     | CXOU 233623.5+020933                | $10 \pm 3$                     | 3                                            | NGC 7715 tail               |
| #2     | CXOU 233622.1+020923                | $28 \pm 5$                     | 7                                            | NGC 7715 disk               |
| #3     | CXOU 233619.3+020839                | $50 \pm 7$                     | 12                                           | background?                 |
| #4     | CXOU 233615.6+020923                | $2657 \pm 52$                  | 640                                          | NGC 7714 ring               |
| #5     | CXOU 233615.1+020904                | $21 \pm 5$                     | 5                                            | NGC 7714 ring               |
| #6     | CXOU 233614.2+020908                | $21 \pm 5$                     | 6                                            | near end of NGC 7714 bar    |
| #7     | CXOU 233613.9+020936                | $26 \pm 5$                     | 7                                            | near end of NGC 7714 bar    |
| #8     | CXOU 233613.2+020902                | $30 \pm 6$                     | 8                                            | inner western NGC 7714 tail |
| #9     | CXOU 233612.3+020905                | $22 \pm 5$                     | 6                                            | inner western NGC 7714 tail |
| #10    | CXOU 233611.5+020853                | $10 \pm 3$                     | 3                                            | outer western NGC 7714 tail |
| #11    | CXOU 233610.1+020923                | $30 \pm 6$                     | 8                                            | outer western NGC 7714 tail |
| #12    | CXOU 233610.0+020900                | $1076 \pm 33$                  | 240                                          | outer western NGC 7714 tail |
| #13    | CXOU 233609.1+021007                | $20 \pm 5$                     | 5                                            | background?                 |

TABLE 2  
SPECTRAL FITS

| Source                        | Function        | $\chi^2/\text{DoF}$ | $n_H$<br>$\times 10^{21}$<br>$\text{cm}^{-2}$ | $\Gamma$              | kT<br>(keV)                           | [Si]                   | Net<br>Counts<br>(0.3–8 keV) | $L_X$<br>(0.3–8 keV)<br>( $10^{40}$ erg/s) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Nucleus <sup>a,b</sup>        | Mekal           | 60/61               | $1.5 \pm_{0.4}^{0.3}$                         | $1.7 \pm_{0.0}^{0.1}$ | $9 \pm_2^4$                           |                        | $1498 \pm 39$                | 4.7                                        |
| Nucleus <sup>a</sup>          | Power Law       | 60/61               | $2.2 \pm 0.2$                                 |                       |                                       |                        | $1498 \pm 39$                | 4.4                                        |
| Secondary Source              | Power Law       | 17/23               | $2.0 \pm_{0.7}^{0.9}$                         |                       | $1.9 \pm_{0.0}^{0.3}$                 |                        | $480 \pm 22$                 | 1.1                                        |
| Central Diffuse <sup>c</sup>  | Mekal+Mekal     | 59/60               | $0.5 \pm_{0.3}^{0.4}$                         |                       | $0.59 \pm_{0.06}^{0.05}/8 \pm_3^{10}$ | $1.2 \pm_{0.7}^{0.6}$  | $1933 \pm 44$                | 3.5                                        |
| Central Diffuse <sup>d</sup>  | Mekal+Power Law | 59/60               | $1.0 \pm_{0.4}^{0.6}$                         | $1.8 \pm 0.2$         | $0.57 \pm_{0.34}^{0.05}$              | $0.9 \pm 0.7$          | $1933 \pm 44$                | 3.5                                        |
| Inner Diffuse <sup>e</sup>    | Mekal+Mekal     | 27/40               | $0.7 \pm_{0.7}^{0.4}$                         |                       | $0.6 \pm_{0.12}^{0.08}/5 \pm_1^3$     | $4.2 \pm_{2.6}^{3.4}$  | $1163 \pm 34$                | 2.4                                        |
| Inner Diffuse <sup>e</sup>    | Mekal+Power Law | 28/40               | $1.4 \pm_{0.6}^{3.4}$                         | $1.9 \pm_{0.2}^{0.4}$ | $0.55 \pm_{0.33}^{0.10}$              | $8.4 \pm_{5.5}^{20.5}$ | $1163 \pm 34$                | 2.3                                        |
| Outer Diffuse <sup>f</sup>    | Mekal+Mekal     | 19/23               | $0 \pm_0^{5.7}$                               |                       | $0.58 \pm_{0.07}^{0.05}/4 \pm_1^6$    | $1.2 \pm_{1.2}^{5.6}$  | $742 \pm 28$                 | 1.2                                        |
| Outer Diffuse <sup>f</sup>    | Mekal+Power Law | 19/23               | $0.6 \pm_{0.5}^{4.4}$                         | $2.2 \pm_{0.5}^{0.6}$ | $0.56 \pm_{0.23}^{0.07}$              | $0.5 \pm_{0.5}^{4.9}$  | $742 \pm 28$                 | 1.1                                        |
| Point Source #4 <sup>a</sup>  | Power Law       | 78/93               | $1.9 \pm 0.3$                                 | $1.9 \pm_{0.0}^{0.1}$ |                                       |                        | $2657 \pm 52$                | 6.4                                        |
| Point Source #12 <sup>a</sup> | Power Law       | 51/41               | $0.6 \pm 0.5$                                 | $1.6 \pm 0.2$         |                                       |                        | $1046 \pm 32$                | 2.4                                        |

<sup>a</sup>Used pileup model with  $\alpha = 0.5$  and psfract = 0.95 fixed. Models without pileup give consistent results.

<sup>b</sup>These parameters give acceptable fits for all abundances  $< 2$  solar.

<sup>c</sup>In a  $9''/5$  region centered  $1''5\text{E}$ ,  $2''\text{N}$  of the nucleus, excluding the two central point sources and HII region A. The metal abundance was fixed at 0.25 solar. All abundances from 0.1 – 2 solar give acceptable fits with consistent parameters.

<sup>d</sup>The metal abundance, aside from [Si], was fixed at 0.25 solar. The abundance could not be constrained.

<sup>e</sup>In a  $3''$  region centered  $1''5\text{E}$ ,  $2''\text{N}$  of the nucleus, excluding the two central point sources. The abundances of the other elements besides silicon were fixed to 0.25 solar.

<sup>f</sup>In a  $3'' - 9''/5$  annulus centered  $1''5\text{E}$ ,  $2''\text{N}$  of the nucleus, excluding the two central point sources and HII region A. The abundance of the other elements besides silicon was fixed at 0.25 solar.

TABLE 3  
HII REGION COMPLEXES IN NGC 7714

| Region  | $L_X$<br>(erg s <sup>-1</sup> )<br>(0.3 – 8 keV) | $N_{LyC}$<br>(photons s <sup>-1</sup> ) | $L_{H\alpha}$<br>(erg s <sup>-1</sup> ) | $N_{LyC}/L_X$<br>(photons/erg) | $L_{H\alpha}/L_X$ |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| A       | $1.3 \times 10^{39}$                             | $2.0 \times 10^{52}$                    | $2.8 \times 10^{40}$                    | $1.5 \times 10^{12}$           | 22                |
| B       | $4.8 \times 10^{39}$                             | $3.8 \times 10^{52}$                    | $5.2 \times 10^{40}$                    | $7.9 \times 10^{12}$           | 11                |
| C       | $4.9 \times 10^{39}$                             | $2.2 \times 10^{52}$                    | $3.0 \times 10^{40}$                    | $4.5 \times 10^{12}$           | 6.1               |
| D       | $\leq 7.3 \times 10^{38}$                        | $1.2 \times 10^{52}$                    | $1.7 \times 10^{40}$                    | $\geq 1.6 \times 10^{13}$      | $\geq 23$         |
| E       | $3.9 \times 10^{38}$                             | $8.7 \times 10^{50}$                    | $1.2 \times 10^{39}$                    | $2.2 \times 10^{12}$           | 3.1               |
| Nucleus | $4.4 \times 10^{40}$                             | $4.7 \times 10^{52}$                    | $6.4 \times 10^{40}$                    | $1.1 \times 10^{12}$           | 1.5               |

TABLE 4  
POSSIBLE OPTICAL COUNTERPARTS TO ULX CANDIDATES IN THE LITERATURE

| Galaxy   | ULX             | $M_B$ | $M_V$ | U – B | B – V  | V – I | B – I | log( $F_X/F_V$ ) | Ref |
|----------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------------------|-----|
| NGC 7714 | 1.5'' NE of nuc | -14.2 | -14.1 | -0.6  | -0.1   | -0.4  | -0.5  | -0.3             | a   |
| NGC 1637 | #68             |       | -7.55 |       |        | -0.3  |       | 1.13             | b   |
| M81      | X-11            | -4.3  | -4.2  |       | -0.1   |       |       | 3.0              | c   |
| M82      | MGG-11          |       | -12.5 |       |        |       |       |                  | d   |
| M82      | Einstein #1     | -5.5  |       |       | < -0.3 |       |       | $\geq 500$       | e   |
| M83      | H30             |       | -9    |       | 0      |       |       | 0.58             | f   |
| NGC 4565 | RXJ1236.2+2558  | -4.9  |       |       |        |       | 1.1   | 540              | g   |
| NGC 5204 | U1              |       |       |       |        |       |       |                  | h   |

<sup>a</sup>This work.

<sup>b</sup>Immler et al. (2003).

<sup>c</sup>Liu, Bregman, & Seitzer (2002).

<sup>d</sup>X-ray data from ASCA (Matsumoto & Tsuru 1999). A possible association with the optical cluster MGG-11 has been noted by Portegies Zwart et al. (2004). MGG-11 has an observed HST NICMOS near-infrared [F160W] magnitude of  $13.1 \pm 0.15$  with extinction  $A_{F160W} = 1.4 \pm 0.5$ , an inferred age of 7 – 12 Myrs, and an effective spectral type of M4.5I (McCradly, Gilbert, & Graham 2003). Using the IRAF **synphot** database and a distance of 3.6 Mpc, we infer an absolute K magnitude of -16.7 for this cluster. Using the Koornneef (1983) stellar colors, we obtain  $M_V \approx -12.5$ .

<sup>e</sup>Seen in Einstein data (Stocke, Wurtz, & Kühr 1991), but not in ROSAT (Collura et al. 1994) or Chandra data (Griffith et al. 2000).

<sup>f</sup>Immler et al. (1999), using a distance of 4.5 Mpc (Thim et al. 2003).

<sup>g</sup>Wu et al. (2002).

<sup>h</sup>UV-Optical spectra and fluxes consistent with B0Ib star (Liu, Bregman, & Seitzer 2004).



TABLE 5  
GLOBAL PROPERTIES OF GALACTIC AND EXTRAGALACTIC HII REGION COMPLEXES FROM THE  
LITERATURE

| Galaxy    | HII Region/<br>Superbubble | Distance | Age<br>(Myr) | Ref | $L_X$<br>(erg s <sup>-1</sup> ) | Ref   | $N_{LyC}$<br>(photons/s) | $L_{H\alpha}^\dagger$<br>(erg s <sup>-1</sup> ) | Ref   | $L_{H\alpha}^\dagger/L_X$ | $N_{LyC}/L_X$<br>(photons/erg) |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------|--------------|-----|---------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Milky Way | Orion                      | 0.5 kpc  | $\leq 1$     | a   | $\leq 3.8 \times 10^{33}$       | b     | $8.0 \times 10^{48}$     | $1 \times 10^{37}$                              | c     | $\geq 2600$               | $\geq 1.9 \times 10^{15}$      |
| Milky Way | M8=Lagoon                  | 1.4 kpc  | 1.5          | d   | $6.6 \times 10^{32}$            | e     | $2.1 \times 10^{49}$     | $3 \times 10^{37}$                              | c     | 45,000                    | $3.3 \times 10^{16}$           |
| Milky Way | NGC 2244=Rosette           | 1.4 kpc  | 2            | f   | $2 \times 10^{32}$              | g     | $5.8 \times 10^{49}$     | $8 \times 10^{37}$                              | c     | 400,000                   | $2.9 \times 10^{17}$           |
| Milky Way | NGC 3603                   | 8.5 kpc  | 1            | h   | $2 \times 10^{34}$              | i     | $1.1 \times 10^{51}$     | $1.5 \times 10^{39}$                            | c     | 100,000                   | $7.2 \times 10^{16}$           |
| Milky Way | M17=Omega                  | 1.6 kpc  | 1            | j   | $2.5 \times 10^{33}$            | k     | $1.8 \times 10^{50}$     | $2.5 \times 10^{38}$                            | l     | 200,000                   | $7.6 \times 10^{16}$           |
| Milky Way | Carina                     | 1.4 kpc  | 1 – 6.2      | m   | $4.6 \times 10^{34}$            | n     | $4.4 \times 10^{50}$     | $6 \times 10^{38}$                              | c     | 13,000                    | $9.5 \times 10^{15}$           |
| Milky Way | W51                        | 5.5 kpc  | 0.4 – 2.3    | o   | $4.5 \times 10^{33}$            | p     | $1.7 \times 10^{51}$     | $2.4 \times 10^{39}$                            | q     | 53,000                    | $3.9 \times 10^{16}$           |
| Milky Way | RCW 49                     | 2.3 kpc  | 2 – 3        | r   | $3 \times 10^{33}$              | p     | $1.4 \times 10^{50}$     | $1.9 \times 10^{38}$                            | q     | 63,000                    | $4.6 \times 10^{16}$           |
| LMC       | 30 Dor                     | 50 kpc   | 2 – 5        | s   | $2-6 \times 10^{37}$            | t     | $1.1 \times 10^{52}$     | $1.5 \times 10^{40}$                            | c     | 300                       | $2.2 \times 10^{14}$           |
| LMC       | N11                        | 50 kpc   | 4 – 5        | u   | $8 \times 10^{35}$              | v     | $7.3 \times 10^{50}$     | $1.0 \times 10^{39}$                            | w     | 1300                      | $9.5 \times 10^{14}$           |
| LMC       | DEM 152                    | 50 kpc   | $\sim 10$    | x   | $5.4 \times 10^{35}$            | y     | $2.1 \times 10^{50}$     | $2.9 \times 10^{38}$                            | x     | 540                       | $3.9 \times 10^{14}$           |
| LMC       | DEM 25                     | 50 kpc   | 6            | z   | $1.8 \times 10^{35}$            | z     | $2.9 \times 10^{48}$     | $4.0 \times 10^{36}$                            | aa    | 22                        | $1.6 \times 10^{13}$           |
| LMC       | DEM 301                    | 50 kpc   | 4.5          | z   | $5.4 \times 10^{35}$            | z     | $2.0 \times 10^{50}$     | $2.7 \times 10^{38}$                            | aa    | 500                       | $3.7 \times 10^{14}$           |
| LMC       | DEM 50                     | 50 kpc   | 4.5          | z   | $4.2 \times 10^{35}$            | z     | $2.2 \times 10^{49}$     | $3.0 \times 10^{37}$                            | z     | 72                        | $5.2 \times 10^{13}$           |
| SMC       | NGC 346                    | 59 kpc   | 3            | bb  | $1.5 \times 10^{34}$            | cc    | $5.9 \times 10^{50}$     | $8.1 \times 10^{38}$                            | dd    | 54,000                    | $3.9 \times 10^{16}$           |
| NGC 4303  | B/27                       | 16.1 Mpc |              |     | $1.3 \times 10^{39}$            | ee    | $1.7 \times 10^{52}$     | $2.4 \times 10^{40}$                            | ff    | 19                        | $1.4 \times 10^{13}$           |
| NGC 4303  | F/69                       | 16.1 Mpc |              |     | $1.1 \times 10^{39}$            | ee    | $2.3 \times 10^{52}$     | $3.2 \times 10^{40}$                            | ff    | 28                        | $2.0 \times 10^{13}$           |
| M101      | NGC 5455                   | 7.2 Mpc  |              |     | $4.8 \times 10^{38}$            | gg    | $1.2 \times 10^{52}$     | $1.7 \times 10^{40}$                            | hh    | 35                        | $1.1 \times 10^{13}$           |
| M101      | NGC 5461                   | 7.2 Mpc  |              |     | $1.1 \times 10^{38}$            | gg,ii | $3.7 \times 10^{52}$     | $5.1 \times 10^{40}$                            | hh,jj | 90                        | $6.6 \times 10^{13}$           |
| M101      | NGC 5462                   | 7.2 Mpc  |              |     | $1.9 \times 10^{38}$            | gg,ii | $1.8 \times 10^{52}$     | $2.5 \times 10^{40}$                            | jj    | 130                       | $9.6 \times 10^{13}$           |

<sup>†</sup>Extinction-corrected.

<sup>a</sup>O'Dell (2003).

<sup>b</sup>den Boggende et al. (1978), total X-ray luminosity from ANS, 1 – 8 keV. This is an upper limit to the diffuse emission.

<sup>c</sup>Kennicutt (1984).

<sup>d</sup>Sung, Chun, & Bessell (2000).

<sup>e</sup>Rauw et al. (2002), with XMM-Newton, 0.5-2 keV.

<sup>f</sup>Park & Sung (2002).

<sup>g</sup>Townsley et al. (2003), Chandra, 0.5 – 2 keV.

<sup>h</sup>Sung & Bessell (2004).

<sup>i</sup>Moffat et al. (2002), Chandra, energy range not given.

<sup>j</sup>Hanson, Howarth, & Conti (1997).

<sup>k</sup>Dunne et al. (2003), from ROSAT.

<sup>l</sup>Felli, Churchwell, & Massi (1984).

<sup>m</sup>Tapia et al. (2003) and Carraro et al. (2004).

<sup>n</sup>Seward et al. (1979), Einstein, 0.5 – 3 keV.

<sup>o</sup>Okumura et al. (2000).

<sup>p</sup>Townsley et al. (2004), Chandra, 0.5 – 8 keV.

<sup>q</sup>Smith, Biermann, & Mezger (1978).

<sup>r</sup>Piatti, Bica, & Claria (1998) and Carraro & Munan (2004).

<sup>s</sup>Melnick (1985) and Brandl et al. (1996).

<sup>t</sup>Norci & Oegelman (1995), from ROSAT, 0.1 – 2.4 keV.

<sup>u</sup>Parker et al. (1992).

<sup>v</sup>MacLow et al. (1998), ROSAT, 0.5 – 2.4 keV.

<sup>w</sup>Walborn & Parker (1992).

<sup>x</sup>Oey & Massey (1995).

<sup>y</sup>Dunne et al. (2001), ROSAT, 0.5 – 2.4 keV.

<sup>z</sup>Oey (1996), Chandra, 0.1 – 2.4 keV.

<sup>aa</sup>Oey & Kennicutt (1997).

<sup>bb</sup>Bouret et al. (2003).

<sup>cc</sup>Stevens & Hartwell (2003).

<sup>dd</sup>Relaño, Peimbert, & Beckman (2002).

<sup>ee</sup>Tschöke, Hensler, & Junkes (2000), from ROSAT, 0.1 – 2.4 keV.

<sup>ff</sup>Martin & Roy (1992).

<sup>gg</sup>Williams & Chu (1995).

<sup>hh</sup>Skillman & Israel (1988).

<sup>ii</sup>Kuntz et al. (2003), Kuntz (2004) private communication.

<sup>jj</sup>Bosch, Terlevich, & Terlevich (2002).

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig6.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>



This figure "BeverlySmith.fig7a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig7b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig8.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig9.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig10.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig11a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig11b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>

This figure "BeverlySmith.fig12.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0412039v1>